



**PREPARED FOR:**

**DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES INC.**

**Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path Phone Survey**

**Weighted Cross-Tabs**

**December 2015**

**PREPARED BY:**

**DHM RESEARCH**

**(503) 220-0575 • 239 NW 13<sup>th</sup> Ave., #205, Portland, OR 97209 • [www.dhmresearch.com](http://www.dhmresearch.com)**

**HOW TO READ CROSSTABLES**

Q1. All in all, do you think things are headed in the right direction or are things pretty much on the wrong track?

	Total	Gender	
		Male	Female
Total	1371 100%	599 100%	772 100%
Right direction	943 69%	394 66% (B)	549 71% (C)
Wrong track	236 17%	126 21%	100 14%
Don't know	192 14%	79 13%	113 15%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC

**How to Read Crosstables:** In the example chart (left), rows represent the three possible response options to the question "All in all, do you think things are headed in the right direction, or are things pretty much on the wrong track?" The three response options are: "right direction," "wrong track," and "don't know." The amounts in each response category sum to the amount in the "total" row at the top of the table.

The first column, or banner, is the "Total" column. It reflects the overall results.

The next two columns reflect the results of a subgroup, "Gender." The gender subgroup is composed of Males and Females, each with their own column. Both gender groups are assigned a letter (Male=B, Female=C).

These two columns show how male's attitudes compare to female's attitudes. As shown in the chart, 69% of the full sample thinks things are headed in the right direction. Looking at the gender subgroup, 71% of women think things are headed in the right direction, compared to 66% of males.

**Statistically Significant Differences:** If a pair of cells has a difference which is statistically significant, the larger of the two cells is flagged with the letter of the opposite column. The test shows the difference in female's attitudes about things being headed in the right direction is statistically significantly different than those of male's, which is signified by a "B" (the letter given to Males) next to female's results for "right direction."

The footnote indicates the level of significance (sig=.05). This level of significance means that 5% of the time, the difference between how the two groups feel about the direction is due to random chance, while 95% of the time the difference is meaningful.

**Statement of Limitations:** Any sampling of opinions or attitudes is subject to a margin of error, which represents the difference between a sample of a given population and the total population. The margin of error is a statistic expressing the amount of random sampling error in a survey's results and differs by sample size, as reflected in the table below. Sampling error is applicable to surveys with random sampling only.

**Margin of Error Based on Sample Size**

N=1200	N=1000	N=800	N=600	N=500	N=400	N=300	N=200	N=150	N=100	N=50
+/- 2.8%	+/- 3.1%	+/- 3.5%	+/- 4.0%	+/- 4.4%	+/- 4.9%	+/- 5.7%	+/- 6.9%	+/- 8.0%	+/- 9.8%	+/- 13.8%

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q1. How far do you commute for your work?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
Less than 5 miles	64 21%	36 25%	27 18%	24 18%	39 24%	32 26%	31 18%	43 23%	20 18%	50 22%	10 20%	3 13%	320 25%	230 27%	9 11%	35 24%	29 19%	15 26%	20 22%	28 19%
5 - 9 miles	34 11%	13 9%	21 14%	10 7%	25 15%	21 17%	14 8%	25 13%	9 8%	29 13%	5 9%	0 1%	220 17%	9 11%	3 4%	21 14%	13 9%	10S 16%	3 3%	22S 15%
10 - 14 miles	27 9%	19 13%	8 5%	22E 17%	5 3%	10 8%	17 9%	16 8%	12 10%	19 9%	8 15%	-	14 11%	100 12%	3 3%	15 11%	12 8%	5 9%	7 8%	15 10%
15 - 19 miles	12 4%	5 3%	7 5%	11E 8%	1 *	1 1%	11 6%	11 6%	1 1%	8 4%	1 2%	2 8%	6 5%	4 5%	1 1%	4 3%	8 5%	3 5%	6 6%	3 2%
20 or more	41 14%	25 17%	17 11%	17 13%	24 15%	19 16%	22 12%	31 17%	10 9%	33 15%	6 12%	2 7%	230 18%	170 20%	2 2%	23 16%	18 12%	11 18%	14 15%	17 11%
I work at home	50 17%	20 14%	29 19%	23 17%	26 16%	11 9%	39F 22%	26 14%	23 20%	36 16%	9 17%	4 18%	12 9%	12 14%	26MN 31%	14 9%	36P 23%	7 12%	20 22%	22 15%
Don't know	73 24%	30 20%	43 28%	28 20%	45 27%	28 23%	44 25%	35 19%	38H 33%	47 21%	12 24%	13JK 52%	18 14%	11 12%	40MN 48%	34 23%	38 25%	9 14%	21 23%	40 28%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q1. How far do you commute for your work?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Less than 5 miles	64 21%	6 16%	11 25%	20 26%	12 29%	12 27%	30 23%	18 23%	16 17%
5 - 9 miles	34 11%	6 16%	4 9%	12 16%	2 5%	3 8%	14 11%	5 6%	15 16%
10 - 14 miles	27 9%	-	4 9%	12 16%	4 11%	4 9%	14 11%	5 6%	8 9%
15 - 19 miles	12 4%	-	5D 12%	-	2 4%	2 5%	2 2%	3 4%	6 7%
20 or more	41 14%	7 19%	10 21%	7 9%	9 23%	5 11%	12 10%	8 10%	21 22%
I work at home	50 17%	7 18%	4 8%	11 15%	4 10%	7 15%	21 16%	18 22%	11 12%
Don't know	73 24%	11 30%	7 15%	15 19%	7 17%	11 24%	34 26%	23 28%	16 17%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q2. Do you bicycle at all...

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
To get to work or school	36 12%	23 16%	13 8%	13 10%	24 14%	36G 30%	-	29 15%	8 7%	32 14%	4 8%	-	19 15%	130 15%	4 5%	26Q 18%	10 6%	6 10%	12 13%	18 12%
For shopping or errands	38 13%	19 13%	19 12%	9 7%	29D 17%	38G 31%	-	33I 18%	5 4%	34 15%	4 8%	-	18 14%	11 13%	8 10%	24 16%	14 9%	5 9%	15 17%	18 12%
For leisure activities, like going to a restaurant or movie	57 19%	24 16%	33 22%	17 12%	40D 24%	57G 46%	-	48I 26%	9 8%	50 22%	6 11%	1 4%	22 18%	21 24%	13 15%	39Q 26%	18 12%	8 13%	8 9%	40S 27%
For recreation (fun)	80 27%	42 29%	38 25%	29 22%	51 31%	80G 65%	-	67I 36%	13 12%	69 31%	7 15%	4 16%	35 28%	26 30%	18 22%	49 33%	31 21%	8 14%	26 29%	45 31%
For exercise	74 25%	38 26%	36 24%	25 18%	50 30%	74G 61%	-	62I 33%	12 11%	64 29%	6 12%	4 15%	28 22%	25 29%	20 24%	46 31%	29 19%	7 12%	17 19%	49R 33%
None of the above	171 57%	83 56%	88 58%	90E 67%	81 49%	-	171F 97%	81 43%	90H 79%	114 51%	39J 76%	18 74%	67 53%	48 56%	54 65%	72 49%	99P 65%	43T 72%	53 59%	72 49%
Don't know	6 2%	0 *	6 4%	3 2%	3 2%	-	6 3%	6 3%	-	6 3%	0 1%	-	6 4%	-	0 *	4 3%	2 1%	3 6%	0 *	2 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q2. Do you bicycle at all...

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
To get to work or school	36 12%	4 12%	-	12 16%	2 6%	11C 26%	13 10%	10 12%	14 15%
For shopping or errands	38 13%	2 5%	4 8%	11 15%	3 7%	12 27%	14 11%	6 7%	18 20%
For leisure activities, like going to a restaurant or movie	57 19%	2 5%	2 5%	11 14%	9 23%	20BCD 45%	27H 21%	6 8%	24H 26%
For recreation (fun)	80 27%	3 8%	6 14%	27B 35%	14 36%	15B 35%	36 28%	11 14%	33H 35%
For exercise	74 25%	2 6%	8 19%	22 29%	9 22%	18B 40%	25 20%	14 18%	35GH 38%
None of the above	171 57%	27 75%	32DF 72%	35 45%	22 55%	20 46%	70 55%	58I 72%	43 47%
Don't know	6 2%	3 10%	0 1%	2 3%	-	-	6 4%	0 *	-

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q3. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
Your overall bicycling experience

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	123 100%	65 100%	58 100%	42 100%	81 100%	123 100%	-	100 100%	23 100%	105 100%	12 100%	6 100%	54 100%	38 100%	28 100%	71 100%	52 100%	13 100%	36 100%	72 100%
Very/Somewhat	115 93%	59 92%	55 95%	36 86%	79 97%	115 93%	-	95 95%	20 87%	100 96%	10 82%	5 78%	54 100%	32 85%	26 92%	64 90%	51 99%	13 100%	33 92%	67 93%
Very satisfied	55 44%	30 46%	25 43%	13 32%	41 51%	55 44%	-	40 40%	15 62%	46 44%	6 50%	2 37%	23 42%	13 33%	17 61%	29 41%	26 50%	9 64%	13 36%	32 44%
Somewhat satisfied	60 49%	30 46%	31 53%	23 54%	38 46%	60 49%	-	54 55%	6 25%	54 51%	4 32%	3 41%	32 58%	20 52%	9 31%	35 49%	25 49%	5 36%	20 56%	35 49%
Not too/Not at all	8 7%	5 8%	3 5%	6 14%	2 3%	8 7%	-	5 5%	3 13%	5 4%	2 18%	1 22%	-	6 15%	2 8%	7 10%	1 1%	-	3 8%	5 7%
Not too satisfied	3 2%	1 2%	2 3%	3 7%	-	3 2%	-	3 3%	-	3 3%	-	0 6%	-	2 5%	1 4%	3 4%	0 1%	-	1 3%	2 3%
Not at all satisfied	5 4%	4 7%	1 1%	3 7%	2 3%	5 4%	-	2 2%	3 13%	2 2%	2 18%	1 16%	-	4 10%	1 4%	5 7%	0 1%	-	2 6%	3 4%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q3. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
Your overall bicycling experience

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	115 93%	5 100%	11 92%	37 92%	17 94%	22 94%	49 96%	18 80%	48 97%
Very satisfied	55 44%	2 39%	2 17%	18 44%	6 34%	13 55%	30 58%	10 44%	15 30%
Somewhat satisfied	60 49%	3 61%	9 74%	19 48%	11 61%	9 39%	19 37%	8 36%	33G 67%
Not too/Not at all -----	8 7%	-	1 8%	3 8%	1 6%	1 6%	2 4%	4 20%	1 3%
Not too satisfied	3 2%	-	1 8%	1 2%	1 6%	-	0 1%	2 8%	1 2%
Not at all satisfied	5 4%	-	-	3 6%	-	1 6%	2 4%	3 12%	0 1%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q4. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The availability of bike paths, that is, routes separate from traffic

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	123 100%	65 100% (B)	58 100% (C)	42 100% (D)	81 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	- (G)	100 100% (H)	23 100% (I)	105 100% (J)	12 100% (K)	6 100% (L)	54 100% (M)	38 100% (N)	28 100% (O)	71 100% (P)	52 100% (Q)	13 100% (R)	36 100% (S)	72 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat -----	75 61%	34 52%	42 71%	19 45%	56 69%	75 61%	-	63 63%	12 53%	64 61%	6 51%	5 73%	35 65%	20 52%	18 64%	47 66%	28 54%	6 46%	21 57%	47 65%
Very satisfied	23 19%	11 17%	12 21%	3 8%	20 25%	23 19%	-	18 18%	5 21%	17 16%	4 32%	2 37%	7 13%	7 19%	7 25%	11 15%	12 23%	2 17%	7 19%	13 17%
Somewhat satisfied	52 42%	23 35%	29 50%	16 37%	36 45%	52 42%	-	44 45%	7 32%	47 45%	2 19%	2 36%	28 51%	12 33%	11 39%	36 51%	16 30%	4 29%	14 38%	34 48%
Not too/Not at all -----	40 33%	25 38%	16 27%	20 47%	21 25%	40 33%	-	33 33%	8 33%	34 32%	5 40%	2 27%	13 25%	18 48%	9 30%	22 31%	19 36%	5 39%	15 40%	21 29%
Not too satisfied	32 26%	18 28%	14 24%	14 33%	18 22%	32 26%	-	28 28%	4 19%	28 27%	3 30%	0 5%	13 25%	13 35%	6 20%	17 24%	15 29%	5 35%	12 34%	15 21%
Not at all satisfied	8 7%	7 11%	1 2%	6 14%	2 3%	8 7%	-	5 5%	3 14%	6 5%	1 10%	1 22%	- 11%	5 14%	3 11%	5 6%	4 7%	1 4%	2 6%	6 8%
Don't know	7 6%	6 10%	1 2%	3 8%	4 5%	7 6%	-	4 4%	3 14%	6 6%	1 9%	-	6 11%	-	2 6%	2 3%	5 10%	2 15%	1 3%	4 6%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q4. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
 The availability of bike paths, that is, routes separate from traffic

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	75 61%	4 70%	6 48%	23 56%	12 66%	16 67%	37 72%	10 47%	27 56%
Very satisfied	23 19%	2 32%	1 8%	5 13%	5 31%	2 10%	14 27%	5 22%	4 8%
Somewhat satisfied	52 42%	2 39%	5 39%	17 42%	6 35%	14 57%	23 45%	5 25%	23 47%
Not too/Not at all -----	40 33%	2 30%	4 35%	13 32%	6 34%	8 33%	14 28%	11 51%	15 30%
Not too satisfied	32 26%	1 19%	4 35%	10 26%	6 34%	4 19%	9 18%	10 44%	13 27%
Not at all satisfied	8 7%	1 11%	-	3 6%	-	3 14%	5 10%	2 7%	2 3%
Don't know	7 6%	-	2 17%	5 12%	-	-	-	0 2%	7 14%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q5. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The quality of bike paths

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	123 100%	65 100% (B)	58 100% (C)	42 100% (D)	81 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	- (G)	100 100% (H)	23 100% (I)	105 100% (J)	12 100% (K)	6 100% (L)	54 100% (M)	38 100% (N)	28 100% (O)	71 100% (P)	52 100% (Q)	13 100% (R)	36 100% (S)	72 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat -----	109 88%	56 87%	53 90%	34 82%	74 92%	109 88%	-	90 91%	19 79%	96K 92%	7 64%	5 79%	52 96%	32 83%	23 81%	63 90%	45 87%	11 79%	31 86%	66 91%
Very satisfied	62 50%	31 48%	31 53%	18 43%	44 54%	62 50%	-	52 53%	10 41%	55 52%	6 49%	2 25%	30 55%	18 46%	13 47%	37 52%	25 48%	4 32%	15 42%	41 58%
Somewhat satisfied	47 38%	25 39%	22 37%	16 39%	31 38%	47 38%	-	38 38%	9 39%	42 40%	2 15%	3 55%	23 41%	14 37%	10 34%	26 37%	20 39%	6 47%	16 44%	24 34%
Not too/Not at all -----	13 10%	8 12%	5 9%	7 17%	6 7%	13 10%	-	8 8%	4 19%	8 8%	4J 33%	1 11%	2 4%	7 17%	4 15%	7 10%	6 11%	3 21%	5 13%	5 7%
Not too satisfied	7 6%	3 5%	4 7%	4 9%	3 4%	7 6%	-	5 6%	1 6%	5 4%	2 15%	0 5%	2 4%	3 7%	2 7%	3 5%	3 6%	3 21%	2 4%	2 3%
Not at all satisfied	6 5%	5 7%	1 2%	3 8%	3 4%	6 5%	-	3 3%	3 13%	4 3%	2 18%	0 6%	-	4 10%	2 8%	4 5%	2 5%	-	3 9%	3 4%
Don't know	1 1%	1 2%	0 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	0 2%	0 *	0 3%	1J 10%	-	-	1 5%	0 *	1 2%	-	0 1%	1 1%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q5. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The quality of bike paths

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat	109 88%	5 100%	12 97%	36 88%	17 94%	21 87%	43 82%	18 83%	48 97%
Very satisfied	62 50%	4 70%	8 70%	12 29%	13 72%	11 47%	26 50%	10 43%	27 55%
Somewhat satisfied	47 38%	2 30%	3 27%	24 59%	4 23%	9 39%	17 33%	9 40%	21 43%
Not too/Not at all	13 10%	-	0 3%	4 11%	1 6%	3 12%	8 16%	4 17%	1 2%
Not too satisfied	7 6%	-	0 3%	-	1 6%	2 8%	4 9%	2 8%	1 1%
Not at all satisfied	6 5%	-	-	4 11%	-	1 4%	4 7%	2 9%	0 1%
Don't know	1 1%	-	-	0 1%	-	0 1%	1 2%	-	0 1%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q6. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The availability of bike lanes, which run adjacent to traffic in roadways

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	123 100%	65 100% (B)	58 100% (C)	42 100% (D)	81 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	-	100 100% (H)	23 100% (I)	105 100% (J)	12 100% (K)	6 100% (L)	54 100% (M)	38 100% (N)	28 100% (O)	71 100% (P)	52 100% (Q)	13 100% (R)	36 100% (S)	72 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat	102 83%	51 79%	51 87%	33 78%	69 85%	102 83%	-	85 86%	16 71%	88 84%	8 66%	6 94%	51 93%	28 75%	21 75%	61 87%	41 78%	13 97%	24 67%	63 88%
Very satisfied	44 36%	24 36%	21 36%	10 23%	35 43%	44 36%	-	36 36%	8 36%	38 36%	4 32%	3 44%	22 40%	14 38%	8 28%	29 41%	15 30%	6 43%	7 18%	32 44%
Somewhat satisfied	58 47%	28 42%	30 52%	23 55%	35 43%	58 47%	-	50 50%	8 35%	51 48%	4 34%	3 50%	29 53%	14 37%	13 47%	33 46%	25 48%	7 54%	18 49%	31 44%
Not too/Not at all	19 15%	13 19%	6 11%	8 18%	11 14%	19 15%	-	13 13%	6 26%	15 14%	4 31%	0 6%	4 7%	10 25%	6 19%	9 12%	10 20%	-	12 32%	7 10%
Not too satisfied	11 9%	7 10%	4 7%	3 7%	8 10%	11 9%	-	8 8%	2 10%	9 9%	1 8%	0 6%	4 7%	4 10%	3 11%	4 5%	7 14%	-	7 21%	3 4%
Not at all satisfied	8 7%	6 9%	2 4%	5 12%	3 4%	8 7%	-	5 5%	4 16%	6 5%	3 23%	-	-	6 15%	2 9%	5 7%	3 6%	-	4 12%	4 6%
Don't know	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	2 4%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 2%	0 3%	-	-	-	2 6%	1 1%	1 3%	0 3%	0 1%	1 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q6. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
 The availability of bike lanes, which run adjacent to traffic in roadways

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat	102 83%	5 100%	8 69%	35 87%	17 94%	18 75%	43 84%	18 82%	40 82%
Very satisfied	44 36%	3 51%	1 8%	19 47%	9 48%	7 29%	21 41%	5 24%	18 36%
Somewhat satisfied	58 47%	3 49%	7 61%	16 39%	8 46%	11 46%	22 43%	13 58%	23 46%
Not too/Not at all	19 15%	-	4 31%	5 11%	1 6%	6 25%	8 15%	3 12%	8 17%
Not too satisfied	11 9%	-	4 31%	0 1%	1 6%	2 8%	3 6%	1 5%	6 13%
Not at all satisfied	8 7%	-	-	4 11%	-	4 17%	5 9%	2 8%	2 4%
Don't know	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 6%	0 1%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q7. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The quality of bike lanes

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	123 100%	65 100% (B)	58 100% (C)	42 100% (D)	81 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	- (G)	100 100% (H)	23 100% (I)	105 100% (J)	12 100% (K)	6 100% (L)	54 100% (M)	38 100% (N)	28 100% (O)	71 100% (P)	52 100% (Q)	13 100% (R)	36 100% (S)	72 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat -----	106 86%	56 87%	50 86%	36 87%	70 86%	106 86%	-	90 90% (I)	16 70% (J)	93 89% (K)	7 62% (L)	6 94% (M)	54 100% (N)	28 73% (O)	22 77% (P)	64 90% (Q)	42 81% (R)	13 97% (S)	33 90% (T)	59 82% (U)
Very satisfied	35 29%	18 28%	17 30%	11 27%	24 30%	35 29%	-	26 26% (I)	9 38% (J)	30 29% (K)	2 21% (L)	3 44% (M)	14 25% (N)	14 36% (O)	7 23% (P)	19 26% (Q)	17 32% (R)	4 32% (S)	4 12% (T)	26 36% (U)
Somewhat satisfied	71 58%	38 59%	33 56%	25 60%	46 57%	71 58%	-	64 64% (I)	7 32% (J)	63 60% (K)	5 41% (L)	3 50% (M)	41 75% (N)	14 38% (O)	15 53% (P)	45 64% (Q)	26 49% (R)	9 65% (S)	28 78% (T)	33 47% (U)
Not too/Not at all -----	15 12%	7 11%	7 13%	4 10%	11 13%	15 12%	-	8 8% (I)	6 27% (J)	10 10% (K)	4 35% (L)	0 6% (M)	- (N)	10 27% (O)	5 16% (P)	6 8% (Q)	9 18% (R)	- (S)	3 9% (T)	11 16% (U)
Not too satisfied	12 9%	6 9%	6 10%	2 5%	9 12%	12 9%	-	7 7% (I)	4 17% (J)	8 7% (K)	3 30% (L)	0 6% (M)	- (N)	8 21% (O)	3 12% (P)	5 7% (Q)	7 13% (R)	- (S)	2 5% (T)	10 14% (U)
Not at all satisfied	3 3%	2 2%	2 3%	2 5%	1 2%	3 3%	-	1 1% (I)	2 10% (J)	3 3% (K)	1 5% (L)	- (M)	- (N)	2 5% (O)	1 4% (P)	1 1% (Q)	2 4% (R)	- (S)	2 5% (T)	2 2% (U)
Don't know	2 2%	1 2%	1 1%	1 3%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1% (I)	1 3% (J)	2 2% (K)	0 3% (L)	- (M)	- (N)	- (O)	2 7% (P)	1 2% (Q)	1 1% (R)	0 3% (S)	0 1% (T)	1 2% (U)

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q7. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The quality of bike lanes

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat	106 86%	5 100%	11 95%	35 86%	18 100%	18 75%	42 82%	19 88%	45 91%
Very satisfied	35 29%	4 70%	3 26%	13 31%	4 22%	5 23%	11 22%	9 39%	15 31%
Somewhat satisfied	71 58%	2 30%	8 69%	22 55%	14 78%	12 52%	31 60%	11 48%	29 60%
Not too/Not at all	15 12%	-	1 5%	4 11%	-	6 23%	9 17%	2 9%	4 9%
Not too satisfied	12 9%	-	1 5%	3 7%	-	5 19%	6 11%	2 9%	4 7%
Not at all satisfied	3 3%	-	-	2 4%	-	1 4%	3 5%	-	1 1%
Don't know	2 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	0 1%	1 2%	1 3%	0 1%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q8. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The connectivity of the network of bike lanes and paths

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	123 100%	65 100%	58 100%	42 100%	81 100%	123 100%	-	100 100%	23 100%	105 100%	12 100%	6 100%	54 100%	38 100%	28 100%	71 100%	52 100%	13 100%	36 100%	72 100%
Very/Somewhat	88 72%	49 76%	39 67%	22 53%	66 82%	88 72%	-	73 73%	15 66%	79 75%	6 48%	4 64%	44 81%	25 65%	18 62%	56 79%	32 62%	10 74%	24 66%	53 74%
Very satisfied	32 26%	15 23%	17 29%	6 14%	26 32%	32 26%	-	26 27%	5 23%	25 23%	4 32%	3 55%	13 24%	13 35%	5 18%	19 27%	13 25%	4 32%	10 28%	17 24%
Somewhat satisfied	57 46%	35 53%	22 38%	16 39%	40 50%	57 46%	-	47 47%	10 43%	54 52%	2 15%	1 9%	31 58%	11 29%	13 44%	37 52%	20 38%	6 41%	14 38%	36 50%
Not too/Not at all	25 21%	10 16%	15 26%	12 29%	13 16%	25 21%	-	19 19%	7 29%	19 18%	5 46%	1 21%	6 11%	14 35%	6 22%	14 20%	12 22%	1 7%	9 25%	15 21%
Not too satisfied	18 15%	7 10%	11 20%	8 18%	11 13%	18 15%	-	14 14%	4 18%	12 12%	4 38%	1 21%	6 11%	9 25%	3 11%	10 14%	8 16%	1 7%	7 20%	10 14%
Not at all satisfied	7 6%	4 5%	4 6%	5 11%	2 3%	7 6%	-	5 5%	3 11%	6 6%	1 8%	-	-	4 11%	3 11%	4 6%	3 6%	-	2 6%	5 7%
Don't know	9 7%	5 8%	4 7%	7 17%	2 2%	9 7%	-	8 8%	1 5%	7 7%	1 6%	1 15%	4 8%	-	5 16%	1 1%	8 15%	3 19%	3 9%	3 4%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q8. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
 The connectivity of the network of bike lanes and paths

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	88 72%	5 89%	7 57%	29 72%	16 88%	17 73%	40 78%	13 61%	35 71%
Very satisfied	32 26%	3 51%	7 57%	9 22%	5 26%	5 22%	12 23%	11 48%	9 19%
Somewhat satisfied	57 46%	2 39%	-	20 50%	11C 63%	12C 51%	28H 55%	3 12%	26H 52%
Not too/Not at all -----	25 21%	1 11%	3 25%	7 17%	2 12%	6 25%	9 18%	5 23%	11 23%
Not too satisfied	18 15%	1 11%	1 11%	4 11%	2 12%	4 17%	6 11%	5 23%	8 16%
Not at all satisfied	7 6%	-	2 14%	3 6%	-	2 9%	4 7%	-	4 7%
Don't know	9 7%	-	2 18%	4 10%	-	0 1%	2 4%	4 16%	3 6%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q9. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The awareness for bicyclists by car drivers

Base: Those who bicycle

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	123 100%	65 100%	58 100%	42 100%	81 100%	123 100%	-	100 100%	23 100%	105 100%	12 100%	6 100%	54 100%	38 100%	28 100%	71 100%	52 100%	13 100%	36 100%	72 100%
Very/Somewhat	74 60%	40 62%	34 59%	22 52%	53 65%	74 60%	-	61 61%	13 57%	63 60%	6 51%	5 82%	36 66%	19 51%	19 66%	39 54%	36 69%	8 64%	21 59%	44 61%
Very satisfied	22 18%	17 26%	5 9%	7 18%	15 18%	22 18%	-	18 18%	4 19%	16 15%	2 18%	5 70%	12 21%	7 19%	3 12%	8 12%	14 27%	1 4%	10 27%	12 17%
Somewhat satisfied	52 42%	23 35%	29 50%	14 34%	38 47%	52 42%	-	43 43%	9 38%	48 45%	4 33%	1 11%	24 44%	12 32%	15 54%	30 43%	22 42%	8 59%	12 32%	32 44%
Not too/Not at all	44 36%	23 36%	21 36%	17 40%	27 33%	44 36%	-	35 35%	9 39%	38 36%	5 41%	1 18%	17 30%	19 49%	8 29%	31 43%	13 25%	3 20%	15 40%	26 37%
Not too satisfied	35 28%	16 24%	19 33%	11 27%	23 29%	35 28%	-	28 28%	6 28%	32 31%	2 15%	1 10%	17 30%	13 34%	5 18%	24 33%	11 21%	2 15%	13 34%	20 28%
Not at all satisfied	9 7%	7 11%	2 3%	5 13%	3 4%	9 7%	-	6 6%	3 11%	5 5%	3 25%	1 9%	-	6 15%	3 11%	7 10%	2 4%	1 4%	2 6%	6 9%
Don't know	5 4%	2 3%	3 5%	3 8%	1 2%	5 4%	-	4 4%	1 4%	4 4%	1 8%	-	2 4%	-	1 5%	2 2%	3 6%	2 16%	0 1%	1 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q9. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to biking in your community:  
The awareness for bicyclists by car drivers

Base: Those who bicycle

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	123 100%	5 100% (B)	12 100% (C)	41 100% (D)	18 100% (E)	24 100% (F)	52 100% (G)	22 100% (H)	49 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat	74 60%	5 89%	7 56%	24 59%	13 72%	10 44%	36 69%	9 40%	30 61%
Very satisfied	22 18%	-	4 31%	8 20%	3 19%	4 16%	4 7%	3 13%	16G 32%
Somewhat satisfied	52 42%	5 89%	3 25%	16 40%	9 53%	7 28%	32HI 62%	6 26%	14 29%
Not too/Not at all	44 36%	1 11%	3 26%	15 37%	5 28%	13 56%	15 30%	10 47%	18 37%
Not too satisfied	35 28%	-	3 26%	13 31%	5 28%	9 39%	12 23%	7 32%	16 32%
Not at all satisfied	9 7%	1 11%	-	2 6%	-	4 17%	3 7%	3 15%	2 5%
Don't know	5 4%	-	2 18%	1 3%	-	-	1 2%	3 14%	1 2%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

Q10. How interested are you in biking more often as a form of transportation?

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Not at all/Not too interested	160 53%	85 58%	74 49%	86E 64%	74 45%	28 23%	132F 74%	72 39%	87H 77%	96 43%	47JL 91%	17J 68%	57 45%	45 52%	56MN 67%	66 45%	93P 61%	37 62%	49 54%	72 49%
Not too interested	124 41%	66 45%	58 38%	69E 51%	56 34%	13 11%	111F 62%	48 26%	76H 67%	74 33%	39JL 76%	11 45%	43 34%	35 41%	44M 53%	45 31%	79P 51%	33 55%	33 36%	57 39%
Somewhat/Very interested	133 44%	59 40%	75 49%	45 33%	88D 54%	92G 75%	41 23%	111I 59%	23 20%	122KL 55%	4 8%	7 28%	68O 54%	38O 44%	25 30%	75 51%	58 38%	20 33%	40 44%	72 49%
Somewhat interested	74 25%	26 18%	47B 31%	21 15%	53D 32%	41G 34%	33 18%	60I 32%	14 12%	66K 29%	3 6%	5 20%	35 27%	23 26%	15 18%	42 29%	32 21%	12 20%	24 26%	36 25%
Very interested	60 20%	32 22%	27 18%	24 18%	35 21%	51G 42%	9 5%	51I 27%	9 8%	57K 25%	1 2%	2 8%	34O 27%	15 18%	10 12%	33 23%	26 17%	8 13%	16 18%	36 24%
Don't know	7 2%	4 3%	3 2%	4 3%	3 2%	3 2%	4 2%	4 2%	3 3%	6 3%	0 1%	1 4%	2 2%	3 3%	2 3%	5 4%	2 1%	3 5%	2 2%	2 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q10. How interested are you in biking more often as a form of transportation?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Not at all/Not too -----	160 53%	26 74%	19 43%	35 45%	22 56%	22 50%	62 49%	58 73%	39 43%
Not at all interested	124 41%	20 57%	16 35%	28 36%	15 39%	17 40%	52 41%	41 52%	31 34%
Not too interested	35 12%	6 16%	4 8%	7 9%	7 17%	5 10%	10 8%	17 21%	8 9%
Somewhat/Very -----	133 44%	9 26%	22 50%	43 55%	17 43%	22 50%	63 49%	20 25%	51 55%
Somewhat interested	74 25%	6 16%	14 32%	20 25%	12 31%	10 24%	34 27%	9 12%	30 33%
Very interested	60 20%	4 11%	8 18%	23 30%	5 11%	12 26%	29 22%	10 13%	21 23%
Don't know	7 2%	-	3 6%	-	1 2%	-	3 2%	2 3%	2 2%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q11. Thinking about your community, where would be the most useful area to have a separate path for bicyclists and pedestrians to make it easier and safer for people to travel without driving?

	Q2: Support/Oppose Path										Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >	
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)	
Highway 20/railroad tracks	28 9%	18 12%	9 6%	17 12%	11 7%	13 11%	15 8%	22 12%	6 5%	25 11%	1 1%	2 7%	8 6%	12 14%	7 9%	9 6%	19 12%	0 1%	8 9%	19R 13%	
Between Albany and Corvallis	13 4%	4 3%	9 6%	6 5%	7 4%	2 2%	11 6%	9 5%	4 4%	12 5%	1 2%	-	3 3%	3 4%	5 6%	10 7%	3 2%	0 1%	6 7%	6 4%	
Oppose bicycle paths	12 4%	6 4%	6 4%	2 2%	10 6%	8 6%	5 3%	11 6%	2 1%	10 4%	1 2%	2 7%	4 3%	6 7%	3 3%	5 3%	7 5%	3 4%	5 6%	5 3%	
Town (general)	12 4%	7 5%	5 3%	2 2%	9 6%	8 6%	4 2%	12I 6%	-	9 4%	2 4%	1 2%	3 3%	7O 9%	1 1%	6 4%	5 3%	-	4 4%	8 5%	
Along the university/campus	10 3%	3 2%	7 5%	4 3%	6 4%	8 7%	2 1%	5 3%	5 4%	10 5%	-	-	6 5%	4 5%	1 1%	3 2%	8 5%	-	2 2%	8 6%	
Highway 99	10 3%	6 4%	3 2%	3 2%	7 4%	4 4%	5 3%	4 2%	5 5%	5 2%	4 7%	1 4%	6 5%	3 3%	1 1%	6 4%	4 2%	-	3 3%	7 4%	
Downtown	8 3%	0 *	8 5%	0 *	8 5%	7G 6%	1 *	7 4%	1 1%	6 3%	2 3%	-	3 3%	2 2%	3 3%	6 4%	2 1%	-	2 2%	6 4%	
Highway 34/Riverside Dr.	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	5 3%	1 1%	1 *	5 3%	3 2%	3 2%	5 2%	1 1%	-	-	3 4%	3 3%	1 1%	5 3%	1 2%	2 2%	3 2%	
Albany	4 1%	4 3%	0 *	4 3%	1 *	4 3%	1 *	1 1%	3 3%	3 2%	1 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 2%	4 3%	0 *	3 4%	-	2 1%	
North Albany	4 1%	2 1%	3 2%	4 3%	-	0 *	4 2%	3 2%	1 1%	4 2%	-	-	-	2 3%	2 3%	0 *	4 3%	1 2%	0 *	3 2%	
South Albany	4 1%	1 1%	3 2%	3 2%	1 1%	4 3%	0 *	4 2%	0 *	4 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	2 2%	4 2%	0 *	-	3 3%	1 1%	
9th Street	3 1%	2 2%	1 *	-	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	-	3 3%	1 1%	2 3%	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	2 3%	1 *	
Downtown Albany	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 2%	2 1%	-	0 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	
Walnut Blvd.	2 1%	2 1%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 2%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 *	
Along the river	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 *	-	1 1%	1 1%	
Along side the road/off the road	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 *	1 *	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 *	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	
Good as is / Content	1 *	-	1 1%	0 *	1 *	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 *	0 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	0 *	1 *	
Other	67 22%	32 22%	35 23%	34 25%	33 20%	27 22%	40 23%	47 25%	20 18%	54 24%	11 21%	3 11%	36O 28%	20 23%	11 14%	38 26%	30 19%	14 23%	23 25%	30 21%	

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

(continued)

Q11. Thinking about your community, where would be the most useful area to have a separate path for bicyclists and pedestrians to make it easier and safer for people to travel without driving?

	Area		City		Q2:		Q19-Q22:		Q23:			Age			Gender		Education			
	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Bicyclist		CAB User		Support/Oppose						Male	Fe-	H.S.	Some	Coll	
	ridor	Cor-	bany	val-	Yes	No	Yes	No	port	pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	male	male	Or <	Coll	Or >	
None/Nothing	39 13%	21 14%	18 12%	17 12%	22 13%	10 8%	29 16%	20 11%	18 16%	27 12%	9 18%	3 11%	14 11%	7 8%	16N 19%	14 10%	25 16%	10 17%	8 9%	19 13%
Don't Know	72 24%	33 22%	39 25%	30 22%	42 26%	21 17%	51 29%	34 18%	38H 33%	43 19%	15 30%	14J 56%	42N 33%	10 11%	20N 24%	37 25%	35 23%	27ST 46%	19 21%	25 17%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q11. Thinking about your community, where would be the most useful area to have a separate path for bicyclists and pedestrians to make it easier and safer for people to travel without driving?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Highway 20/railroad tracks	28 9%	1 3%	3 6%	11 15%	1 2%	7 15%	12 9%	9 11%	7 8%
Between Albany and Corvallis	13 4%	1 3%	4 10%	2 3%	-	2 5%	7 6%	3 4%	3 3%
Oppose bicycle paths	12 4%	-	0 1%	5 7%	5 14%	0 1%	5 4%	4 5%	4 4%
Town (general)	12 4%	-	-	4 5%	4 10%	2 4%	5 4%	7 8%	-
Along the university/campus	10 3%	3 9%	-	-	2 4%	-	1 *	2 3%	8 8%
Highway 99	10 3%	-	-	6 8%	3 7%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	7 8%
Downtown	8 3%	-	-	4 5%	1 2%	3 7%	2 1%	2 3%	4 4%
Highway 34/Riverside Dr.	6 2%	1 3%	0 1%	1 1%	-	2 5%	2 2%	2 3%	1 2%
Albany	4 1%	-	2 5%	-	0 1%	1 2%	0 *	1 1%	3 3%
North Albany	4 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%	-	0 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%
South Albany	4 1%	1 3%	-	-	2 4%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	0 *
9th Street	3 1%	-	-	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	2 2%	-
Downtown Albany	2 1%	-	1 2%	0 *	-	0 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-
Walnut Blvd.	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%
Along the river	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%
Along side the road/ off the road	2 1%	-	-	-	-	2 4%	1 *	1 1%	-
Good as is / Content	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	0 *	-	1 1%
Other	67 22%	7 18%	15 33%	19 24%	12 29%	8 18%	26 20%	15 19%	26 28%

(continued)

Q11. Thinking about your community, where would be the most useful area to have a separate path for bicyclists and pedestrians to make it easier and safer for people to travel without driving?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
None/Nothing	39 13%	7 20%	6 14%	6 7%	6 14%	5 12%	17 13%	15 19%	7 7%
Don't Know	72 24%	15 42%	11 26%	15 19%	5 12%	9 21%	40 31%	13 17%	18 20%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q12. Several bike paths can be found throughout the Albany and Corvallis areas.  
Which ones have you used in the past three months?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor-ridor	Non-Cor-ridor	Al-bany	Cor-val-lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-port	Op-pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Philomath-Corvallis Path	14 5%	4 3%	10 7%	3 2%	12 7%	13G 10%	2 1%	12 6%	2 2%	9 4%	2 3%	3 14%	- 8%	7M 8%	7M 9%	9 6%	6 4%	- 5%	4 5%	10 7%
Riverfront Path in Corvallis	39 13%	20 14%	19 12%	11 8%	28 17%	35G 28%	4 2%	34I 18%	5 4%	32 14%	4 7%	3 14%	12 9%	14 16%	14 16%	19 13%	20 13%	2 4%	8 9%	28R 19%
53rd/Campus Path in Corvallis	28 9%	10 7%	18 12%	6 4%	22D 13%	27G 22%	1 *	27I 14%	1 1%	23 10%	3 6%	2 7%	11 9%	6 7%	10 12%	14 10%	13 9%	- 9%	8 9%	20R 14%
Willamette Park Path in Corvallis	20 7%	4 3%	16B 11%	6 4%	15 9%	17G 14%	3 2%	20I 11%	1 1%	15 7%	4 8%	2 7%	8 6%	6 7%	6 7%	10 7%	11 7%	2 4%	4 4%	14 10%
Corvallis-Albany Path along Circle Boulevard in Corvallis	15 5%	6 4%	9 6%	2 2%	13 8%	13G 11%	2 1%	12 6%	4 3%	13 6%	- 7%	2 7%	6 5%	7 8%	2 3%	3 2%	12 8%	2 4%	- 9%	13S 9%
Dave Clark Path in Albany	7 2%	4 2%	3 2%	7E 5%	- 4%	5 4%	1 1%	7 4%	- 3%	7 3%	- 3%	- 3%	2 2%	3 4%	1 1%	6 4%	1 1%	- 3%	3 3%	4 3%
Cow Creek Path in Albany	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%	0 *	2 1%	0 *	2 1%	- 1%	- 1%	- 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	- 1%	0 *	2 1%
Takena Landing Path in Albany	6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	6E 4%	- 4%	6 4%	0 *	6 3%	- 3%	6 3%	- 3%	- 3%	2 2%	3 4%	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	- 4%	3 4%	2 2%
Periwinkle Path in Albany	12 4%	3 2%	9 6%	12E 9%	- 6%	8 6%	5 3%	10 5%	3 3%	11 5%	1 2%	0 1%	2 2%	7 8%	2 3%	8 5%	5 3%	1 1%	4 5%	7 5%
None of the above	223 74%	114 77%	109 72%	101 75%	122 74%	61 50%	162F 92%	125 67%	98H 86%	162 72%	43 83%	19 77%	103N 81%	55 64%	63 76%	108 74%	115 75%	54T 90%	67 74%	100 69%
Don't know	13 4%	9 6%	4 2%	5 4%	8 5%	10G 8%	3 2%	9 5%	4 4%	11 5%	2 5%	- 5%	6 5%	5 6%	2 2%	6 4%	7 4%	3 5%	5 5%	4 3%

(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q12. Several bike paths can be found throughout the Albany and Corvallis areas.  
Which ones have you used in the past three months?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Philomath-Corvallis Path	14 5%	-	-	3 3%	3 7%	5 12%	8 6%	2 3%	5 5%
Riverfront Path in Corvallis	39 13%	-	7 15%	5 7%	5 13%	10BD 23%	17 13%	4 5%	18H 20%
53rd/Campus Path in Corvallis	28 9%	-	4 10%	2 3%	5 13%	9BD 20%	9 7%	3 3%	16H 18%
Willamette Park Path in Corvallis	20 7%	-	-	4 6%	3 7%	5 12%	6 5%	2 3%	12 14%
Corvallis-Albany Path along Circle Boulevard in Corvallis	15 5%	-	-	2 3%	3 7%	2 4%	6 5%	-	9H 10%
Dave Clark Path in Albany	7 2%	-	1 2%	3 4%	-	2 5%	1 1%	3 3%	3 3%
Cow Creek Path in Albany	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 3%	2 1%	-	0 *
Takena Landing Path in Albany	6 2%	-	1 2%	2 3%	-	2 5%	2 2%	1 1%	3 3%
Periwinkle Path in Albany	12 4%	1 3%	3 8%	3 4%	2 5%	1 2%	7 5%	4 4%	2 3%
None of the above	223 74%	35CF 97%	32 72%	64F 83%	28 71%	23 52%	94 73%	71GI 89%	59 64%
Don't know	13 4%	-	3 7%	2 3%	4 9%	1 3%	6 4%	1 2%	6 7%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q13. Do you walk:

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
To get to work or school	30 10%	13 9%	18 12%	8 6%	22 13%	21 17%	9 5%	24 13%	6 6%	27 12%	3 6%	-	14 11%	140 16%	2 3%	23 16%	7 5%	4 7%	9 10%	18 12%
For shopping or errands	67 22%	33 22%	34 22%	24 18%	43 26%	41 34%	25 14%	49 26%	18 16%	54 24%	10 19%	3 12%	26 21%	250 29%	13 16%	41 28%	26 17%	8 13%	26 28%	33 23%
For leisure activities, like going to a restaurant or movie	102 34%	45 31%	57 37%	39 29%	63 38%	44 35%	59 33%	70 37%	33 29%	82 37%	17 33%	4 15%	47 37%	31 36%	24 30%	50 34%	53 34%	9 15%	30 33%	63 43%
For recreation (fun)	110 37%	58 39%	52 34%	37 27%	73 44%	49 40%	61 35%	67 36%	43 38%	80 36%	21 42%	9 35%	46 36%	33 38%	30 36%	54 37%	56 36%	13 21%	24 27%	72 49%
For exercise	172 57%	81 55%	91 60%	76 57%	95 58%	84 68%	88 50%	117 63%	54 48%	127 57%	32 62%	13 54%	59 47%	54 64%	57 69%	80 54%	92 60%	28 46%	45 50%	97 67%
None of the above	58 19%	34 23%	23 15%	31 23%	27 16%	17 14%	41 23%	22 12%	35 31%	35 16%	14 28%	8 34%	25 19%	15 18%	16 19%	30 21%	27 18%	18 31%	19 21%	18 13%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q13. Do you walk:

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
To get to work or school	30 10%	3 7%	4 9%	15 20%	3 9%	4 10%	10 8%	11 14%	9 10%
For shopping or errands	67 22%	5 15%	7 15%	19 24%	11 29%	12 28%	28 22%	17 21%	21 23%
For leisure activities, like going to a restaurant or movie	102 34%	14 39%	11 24%	22 29%	19 47%	14 32%	47 37%	25 32%	30 33%
For recreation (fun)	110 37%	14 39%	15 34%	22 28%	24DF 60%	14 31%	42 33%	31 39%	37 40%
For exercise	172 57%	14 40%	16 36%	44 56%	27C 69%	28C 63%	77 60%	38 48%	56 61%
None of the above	58 19%	9 27%	7 15%	16 20%	10 24%	9 20%	26 20%	17 22%	15 16%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q14. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
Your overall walking experience

Base: Those who walk

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	242 100%	114 100%	129 100%	104 100%	138 100%	106 100%	136 100%	164 100%	78 100%	189 100%	37 100%	16 100%	102 100%	71 100%	67 100%	117 100%	126 100%	42 100%	72 100%	128 100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Very/Somewhat	230 95%	107 95%	123 95%	101 97%	129 94%	101 95%	129 95%	157 95%	74 94%	177 94%	37 100%	16 100%	95 93%	69 97%	64 96%	110 95%	120 96%	42 100%	68 94%	120 94%
Very satisfied	143 59%	74 65%	70 54%	55 53%	89 64%	72 67%	72 53%	93 57%	50 64%	106 56%	29 77%	9 56%	59 57%	41 57%	43 64%	67 57%	76 61%	23 54%	43 59%	77 60%
Somewhat satisfied	87 36%	34 30%	53 41%	46 44%	41 30%	29 28%	58 42%	64 39%	23 30%	71 38%	8 23%	7 44%	37 36%	28 40%	21 32%	43 37%	44 35%	19 46%	25 35%	43 33%
Not too/Not at all	8 3%	6 5%	2 1%	3 3%	5 4%	5 5%	3 2%	8 5%	0 *	8 4%	-	-	3 3%	2 3%	3 4%	6 5%	2 2%	-	4 6%	4 3%
Not too satisfied	7 3%	5 5%	1 1%	2 2%	5 3%	4 4%	2 2%	7 4%	-	7 4%	-	-	3 3%	2 3%	1 2%	6 5%	1 *	-	4 6%	3 2%
Not at all satisfied	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	0 *	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%
Don't know	4 2%	-	4 3%	0 *	4 3%	-	4 3%	-	4H 5%	4 2%	-	-	4 4%	-	0 1%	0 *	4 3%	-	-	4 3%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q14. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
Your overall walking experience

Base: Those who walk

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	242 100%	26 100% (B)	38 100% (C)	62 100% (D)	30 100% (E)	35 100% (F)	102 100% (G)	63 100% (H)	78 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	230 95%	23 86%	37 97%	58 94%	30 100%	32 92%	96 94%	59 94%	76 97%
Very satisfied	143 59%	15 58%	15 41%	38 61%	17 55%	22 63%	55 54%	41 65%	47 61%
Somewhat satisfied	87 36%	7 28%	21 56%	20 33%	13 45%	10 29%	41 40%	18 29%	28 37%
Not too/Not at all -----	8 3%	-	1 3%	4 6%	-	3 7%	3 3%	4 6%	2 2%
Not too satisfied	7 3%	-	-	3 6%	-	3 7%	2 2%	3 6%	1 1%
Not at all satisfied	1 1%	-	1 3%	0 1%	-	-	0 *	0 1%	1 1%
Don't know	4 2%	4 14%	-	-	-	0 1%	4 4%	-	0 *

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q15. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
The availability of sidewalks and paths

Base: Those who walk

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	242 100%	114 100% (B)	129 100% (C)	104 100% (D)	138 100% (E)	106 100% (F)	136 100% (G)	164 100% (H)	78 100% (I)	189 100% (J)	37 100% (K)	16 100% (L)	102 100% (M)	71 100% (N)	67 100% (O)	117 100% (P)	126 100% (Q)	42 100% (R)	72 100% (S)	128 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat -----	218 90%	99 87%	119 92%	88 84%	130 94%	101 95%	117 86%	150 92%	67 86%	171 91%	32 85%	15 92%	92 90%	63 89%	61 90%	107 92%	111 88%	30 72%	70R 97%	117R 91%
Very satisfied	149 61%	71 63%	78 60%	57 55%	92 66%	66 62%	83 61%	101 61%	48 62%	116 61%	22 58%	12 72%	70 68%	38 53%	41 61%	74 64%	75 59%	22 52%	43 60%	83 65%
Somewhat satisfied	69 28%	28 25%	41 32%	31 29%	38 28%	35 33%	34 25%	50 30%	19 24%	56 29%	10 27%	3 20%	23 22%	25 35%	20 29%	33 28%	36 29%	8 20%	26 37%	34 27%
Not too/Not at all -----	24 10%	14 12%	10 7%	16 15%	8 6%	5 5%	18 13%	14 8%	10 12%	18 9%	5 15%	1 4%	10 10%	8 11%	6 8%	9 8%	15 12%	11ST 27%	2 3%	10 8%
Not too satisfied	18 7%	12 10%	6 5%	12 11%	6 4%	2 2%	16F 11%	10 6%	8 10%	12 6%	5 15%	1 4%	10 10%	3 4%	5 7%	8 7%	10 8%	10ST 23%	2 3%	6 5%
Not at all satisfied	6 2%	2 2%	4 3%	4 4%	2 1%	3 3%	3 2%	4 3%	2 2%	6 3%	-	-	-	5 7%	1 2%	1 1%	5 4%	1 3%	-	5 4%
Don't know	1 *	0 *	1 *	0 *	1 *	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	0 *	-	1 4%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	0 1%	-	1 *

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q15. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
The availability of sidewalks and paths

Base: Those who walk

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	242 100%	26 100% (B)	38 100% (C)	62 100% (D)	30 100% (E)	35 100% (F)	102 100% (G)	63 100% (H)	78 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	218 90%	23 86%	32 85%	56 90%	29 97%	33 93%	91 90%	57 90%	70 90%
Very satisfied	149 61%	19 72%	25 65%	32 51%	23 75%	21 60%	61 60%	35 55%	53 69%
Somewhat satisfied	69 28%	4 14%	7 20%	24 39%	6 21%	12 33%	31 30%	22 35%	16 21%
Not too/Not at all -----	24 10%	4 14%	6 15%	6 10%	1 3%	2 6%	11 10%	5 8%	8 10%
Not too satisfied	18 7%	4 14%	3 8%	4 7%	1 3%	1 2%	9 8%	4 7%	5 6%
Not at all satisfied	6 2%	-	3 7%	2 3%	-	2 5%	2 2%	1 2%	3 4%
Don't know	1 *	-	-	-	-	0 1%	-	1 1%	-

-----  
(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q16. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
 Awareness for pedestrians by car drivers

Base: Those who walk

	Area		City		Q2:		Q19-Q22:		Q23:			Age			Gender		Education			
	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Bicyclist	Yes	No	CAB	User	Sup-	Op-	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-	H.S.	Some	Coll
	ridor	ridor	bany	val-	Yes	No	Yes	No	port	pose						male	Grad	Coll	Grad	
Total	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Total	242	114	129	104	138	106	136	164	78	189	37	16	102	71	67	117	126	42	72	128
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very/Somewhat	197	94	103	81	117	87	111	134	63	155	28	14	89	51	55	96	101	34	56	106
	81%	83%	80%	77%	84%	82%	81%	82%	81%	82%	75%	87%	87%	71%	83%	82%	81%	83%	78%	83%
Very satisfied	79	38	41	28	51	34	45	48	31	56	14	9	36	20	23	33	46	10	17	52
	32%	33%	32%	27%	37%	32%	33%	29%	40%	30%	37%	56%	35%	28%	34%	28%	37%	23%	24%	41%
Somewhat satisfied	118	57	62	52	66	53	65	86	32	99	14	5	53	31	32	63	55	25	39	54
	49%	50%	48%	50%	48%	50%	48%	52%	41%	53%	37%	32%	52%	43%	48%	54%	44%	59%	54%	42%
Not too/Not at all	42	17	25	21	21	19	23	29	13	32	8	2	14	19	9	18	23	6	15	20
	17%	15%	19%	20%	15%	18%	17%	18%	16%	17%	21%	13%	13%	27%	13%	16%	19%	14%	21%	16%
Not too satisfied	32	13	19	13	19	16	16	22	10	23	6	2	11	15	5	15	16	3	11	18
	13%	11%	15%	12%	14%	15%	12%	13%	13%	12%	18%	13%	11%	21%	8%	13%	13%	7%	16%	14%
Not at all satisfied	10	4	6	8	2	3	7	7	3	9	1	-	2	4	4	3	7	3	3	3
	4%	4%	5%	8%	1%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	-	2%	6%	5%	3%	6%	7%	5%	2%
Don't know	4	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	2	-	-	1	3	3	1	1	1	1
	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%	-	-	1%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q16. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
 Awareness for pedestrians by car drivers

Base: Those who walk

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	242 100%	26 100% (B)	38 100% (C)	62 100% (D)	30 100% (E)	35 100% (F)	102 100% (G)	63 100% (H)	78 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	197 81%	22 83%	31 83%	50 80%	28 94%	28 78%	86 84%	49 78%	63 81%
Very satisfied	79 32%	8 30%	16 42%	21 34%	8 26%	13 37%	26 26%	24 38%	29 37%
Somewhat satisfied	118 49%	14 54%	15 41%	29 46%	20 68%	14 41%	59 58%	25 40%	34 44%
Not too/Not at all -----	42 17%	4 17%	6 15%	12 19%	2 5%	7 19%	14 13%	14 22%	14 18%
Not too satisfied	32 13%	4 14%	6 15%	8 13%	1 2%	6 18%	11 11%	10 16%	10 13%
Not at all satisfied	10 4%	1 3%	-	3 6%	1 3%	0 1%	2 2%	3 6%	4 5%
Don't know	4 1%	-	1 3%	1 1%	0 1%	1 3%	3 3%	0 1%	1 1%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q17. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
Ease of crossing busy streets

Base: Those who walk

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	242 100%	114 100%	129 100%	104 100%	138 100%	106 100%	136 100%	164 100%	78 100%	189 100%	37 100%	16 100%	102 100%	71 100%	67 100%	117 100%	126 100%	42 100%	72 100%	128 100%
Very/Somewhat	213 88%	99 88%	113 88%	83 80%	129D 94%	100 94%	113 83%	149 91%	63 81%	169 90%	29 79%	14 85%	96O 94%	63 88%	54 80%	107 91%	106 84%	32 77%	61 85%	118R 92%
Very satisfied	96 39%	56C 49%	40 31%	31 30%	65D 47%	47 44%	49 36%	66 40%	30 38%	67 35%	20 55%	9 54%	53N 52%	16 23%	26N 39%	52 45%	43 35%	13 32%	27 37%	56 44%
Somewhat satisfied	117 48%	43 38%	74B 57%	52 50%	65 47%	53 50%	64 47%	83 51%	34 43%	103K 54%	9 25%	5 31%	43 42%	46MO 65%	28 41%	54 46%	63 50%	19 45%	35 48%	62 49%
Not too/Not at all	20 8%	9 8%	12 9%	13 13%	7 5%	5 5%	15 11%	10 6%	10 13%	14 8%	6 16%	-	4 4%	5 7%	9 14%	6 5%	14 11%	8T 20%	5 7%	7 6%
Not too satisfied	12 5%	5 4%	7 5%	7 7%	4 3%	1 1%	10 8%	6 4%	6 7%	8 4%	4 10%	-	2 2%	2 3%	6 8%	5 4%	6 5%	5 12%	3 5%	3 3%
Not at all satisfied	9 4%	4 3%	5 4%	6 6%	3 2%	4 4%	5 3%	4 2%	5 6%	7 3%	2 6%	-	2 2%	3 4%	4 6%	1 1%	8 6%	3 8%	1 2%	4 3%
Don't know	10 4%	6 5%	4 3%	8 7%	2 1%	1 1%	9 6%	5 3%	4 5%	5 3%	2 4%	2J 15%	2 2%	3 5%	4 6%	4 3%	6 4%	1 3%	6 8%	2 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q17. Level of satisfaction with the following when it comes to walking in your community:  
Ease of crossing busy streets

Base: Those who walk

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	242 100%	26 100% (B)	38 100% (C)	62 100% (D)	30 100% (E)	35 100% (F)	102 100% (G)	63 100% (H)	78 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	213 88%	23 87%	31 81%	58 93%	27 89%	32 91%	91 89%	54 86%	68 87%
Very satisfied	96 39%	8 31%	12 32%	33 53%	17 57%	11 31%	37 37%	25 40%	34 43%
Somewhat satisfied	117 48%	15 56%	18 49%	25 40%	10 32%	21 60%	54 53%	29 47%	34 44%
Not too/Not at all -----	20 8%	2 9%	5 14%	4 6%	1 4%	3 7%	10 10%	5 8%	5 7%
Not too satisfied	12 5%	2 6%	2 5%	2 4%	1 4%	1 2%	5 5%	4 7%	2 3%
Not at all satisfied	9 4%	1 3%	3 8%	1 2%	-	2 5%	5 4%	1 2%	3 4%
Don't know	10 4%	1 4%	2 5%	0 1%	2 7%	1 2%	1 1%	3 5%	5 6%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The county is proposing to build a bicycle and pedestrian path linking Corvallis and Albany. The proposed path would run roughly near Highway 20, providing a 9½ mile path for the dedicated use of bicyclists and pedestrians. One part of the path has already been built, along Circle Boulevard in Corvallis and past Cheldelin Middle School. A section in North Albany is scheduled to be built in 2017.

Q18. Before today, had you heard about this bicycle-pedestrian path?

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300	148	152	135	165	123	177	186	114	224	51	25	127	86	83	147	153	60	90	146
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Yes	152	78	75	75	77	61	91	91	61	112	27	13	27	59M	64M	77	75	24	39	86
	51%	52%	49%	56%	47%	49%	52%	49%	53%	50%	52%	55%	21%	69%	77%	52%	49%	41%	44%	59%
No	148	70	78	60	88	62	86	95	53	112	24	11	100NO	26	19	70	78	36	51	60
	49%	48%	51%	44%	53%	51%	48%	51%	47%	50%	48%	45%	79%	31%	23%	48%	51%	59%	56%	41%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The county is proposing to build a bicycle and pedestrian path linking Corvallis and Albany. The proposed path would run roughly near Highway 20, providing a 9½ mile path for the dedicated use of bicyclists and pedestrians. One part of the path has already been built, along Circle Boulevard in Corvallis and past Cheldelin Middle School. A section in North Albany is scheduled to be built in 2017.

Q18. Before today, had you heard about this bicycle-pedestrian path?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Yes	152 51%	8 22%	17 39%	32 41%	20 50%	34BCD 76%	66 52%	47 58%	39 42%
No	148 49%	28F 78%	27F 61%	46F 59%	20 50%	10 24%	61 48%	33 42%	54 58%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q19. Do you think you would use the path for riding a bicycle for fun?

	Total	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
		Cor-ridor	Non-Cor-ridor	Al-bany	Cor-val-lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-port	Op-pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Most days/week	8 3%	4 3%	5 3%	5 4%	3 2%	6 5%	2 1%	8 5%	-	8 4%	-	-	2 2%	4 4%	2 3%	7 5%	1 1%	-	3 3%	5 4%
At least once/week	19 6%	9 6%	10 7%	8 6%	11 7%	14G 12%	5 3%	19I 10%	-	19 8%	0 1%	-	9 7%	6 7%	4 5%	14 10%	5 3%	-	6 7%	13 9%
Monthly	31 10%	14 10%	17 11%	13 10%	18 11%	17 14%	15 8%	31I 17%	-	29K 13%	-	2 8%	16 12%	8 10%	7 9%	14 9%	17 11%	4 7%	7 8%	20 14%
Every few months	31 10%	21 14%	9 6%	14 11%	16 10%	20G 16%	11 6%	31I 16%	-	31K 14%	-	-	15 12%	130 15%	3 4%	20 13%	11 7%	4 6%	11 13%	15 10%
Rarely	45 15%	18 12%	26 17%	15 11%	30 18%	30G 24%	15 8%	45I 24%	-	40 18%	3 5%	2 8%	26 21%	11 13%	7 9%	23 16%	22 14%	2 3%	16 18%	26R 18%
No (Never)	156 52%	80 54%	76 50%	75 55%	81 49%	32 26%	124F 70%	45 24%	111H 98%	88 39%	48J 94%	20J 79%	53 42%	42 49%	58MN 70%	66 45%	89 58%	48ST 79%	41 46%	64 44%
Don't Know	10 3%	1 1%	8 5%	5 3%	5 3%	4 3%	6 3%	7 4%	2 2%	9 4%	-	1 4%	6 5%	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	7 5%	2 4%	5 5%	2 1%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q19. Do you think you would use the path for riding a bicycle for fun?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Most days/week	8 3%	1 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	2 5%	4 3%	2 2%	3 3%
At least once/week	19 6%	-	1 2%	10 13%	1 2%	4 10%	12 10%	4 5%	3 4%
Monthly	31 10%	-	4 9%	5 6%	8 19%	4 8%	14 11%	6 8%	11 12%
Every few months	31 10%	1 3%	5 12%	8 11%	11 27%	3 8%	8 6%	3 4%	20GH 21%
Rarely	45 15%	4 11%	7 15%	14 18%	8 19%	10 22%	23 18%	7 9%	14 15%
No (Never)	156 52%	26E 73%	25 55%	39 51%	11 28%	20 47%	65 51%	51I 63%	40 43%
Don't Know	10 3%	4 10%	2 5%	1 1%	1 3%	0 1%	1 1%	7G 9%	2 2%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q20. Do you think you would use the path for riding a bicycle to get to work?

	Total	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
		Cor-ridor	Non-Cor-ridor	Al-bany	Cor-val-lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-port	Op-pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Most days/week	18 6%	9 6%	10 6%	9 7%	9 6%	13 10%	6 3%	18 10%	-	18 8%	-	-	11 9%	6 7%	1 2%	16 11%	2 1%	-	12 13%	6 4%
At least once/week	6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	5 4%	1 1%	3 2%	3 2%	6 3%	-	6 2%	0 1%	-	-	3 4%	3 4%	5 4%	1 *	-	3 3%	3 2%
Monthly	6 2%	4 3%	2 1%	2 2%	4 2%	6 5%	-	6 3%	-	6 3%	0 1%	-	6 5%	-	0 *	2 2%	4 2%	-	6 6%	0 *
Every few months	7 2%	2 1%	5 3%	2 2%	5 3%	2 2%	5 3%	7 4%	-	7 3%	-	-	6 4%	2 2%	-	7 5%	-	3 6%	4 4%	-
Rarely	14 5%	8 6%	6 4%	1 1%	14 8%	7 5%	8 4%	14 8%	-	13 6%	1 2%	-	11 9%	2 2%	2 2%	6 4%	8 5%	2 3%	8 9%	4 3%
No (Never)	243 81%	120 81%	123 81%	111 82%	132 80%	91 74%	153 86%	132 71%	111 98%	169 76%	50 97%	24 99%	92 72%	71 83%	76 91%	109 74%	134 88%	52 87%	56 62%	131 90%
Don't Know	5 2%	1 1%	4 2%	5 4%	-	2 2%	3 2%	3 1%	2 2%	5 2%	-	0 1%	2 2%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	2 4%	1 2%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q20. Do you think you would use the path for riding a bicycle to get to work?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Most days/week	18 6%	-	-	7 10%	2 4%	6 13%	9 7%	5 6%	4 4%
At least once/week	6 2%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 3%	1 2%	5 4%	1 2%	-
Monthly	6 2%	-	4 8%	2 3%	-	0 1%	-	-	6G 7%
Every few months	7 2%	-	3 8%	2 3%	-	-	2 1%	-	6 6%
Rarely	14 5%	5D 15%	0 1%	-	7DF 18%	1 1%	8 6%	4 5%	2 2%
No (Never)	243 81%	29 82%	35 78%	62 80%	29 72%	36 82%	104 81%	67 83%	73 79%
Don't Know	5 2%	-	2 5%	1 2%	1 3%	0 1%	0 *	3 4%	1 1%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q21. Do you think you would use the path for running?

	Area					City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor-ridor	Non-Cor-ridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >		
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%		
Most days/week	9 3%	3 2%	6 4%	9E 7%	-	4 3%	5 3%	9 5%	-	9 4%	-	-	8 7%	1 1%	-	7 5%	2 1%	-	6 7%	3 2%		
At least once/week	21 7%	5 3%	16 11%	7 5%	14 8%	8 6%	14 8%	21I 11%	-	21 10%	-	-	13 10%	6 7%	3 3%	14 10%	7 5%	7 11%	5 5%	10 7%		
Monthly	14 5%	10 7%	3 2%	10 7%	4 2%	4 3%	9 5%	14I 7%	-	12 5%	-	2 8%	12O 10%	1 1%	0 *	4 3%	9 6%	4 7%	5 5%	5 3%		
Every few months	16 5%	6 4%	10 7%	6 4%	10 6%	9 8%	6 4%	16I 8%	-	15 7%	1 2%	-	9 7%	5 6%	2 2%	8 5%	8 5%	3 6%	2 2%	10 7%		
Rarely	31 10%	17 11%	15 10%	9 6%	23 14%	23G 19%	8 4%	31I 17%	-	30K 13%	-	2 7%	18 14%	8 10%	4 5%	20 13%	12 8%	2 3%	7 8%	21 14%		
No (Never)	202 67%	104 70%	98 65%	89 66%	113 69%	70 57%	132F 75%	91 49%	111H 98%	131 59%	50JL 98%	20J 83%	64 50%	61M 71%	74MN 89%	91 62%	112 73%	41 69%	64 71%	94 64%		
Don't Know	7 2%	3 2%	4 2%	5 4%	2 1%	4 3%	2 1%	4 2%	2 2%	6 3%	-	0 1%	2 2%	4 4%	1 1%	3 2%	4 2%	2 4%	1 1%	3 2%		

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q21. Do you think you would use the path for running?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Most days/week	9 3%	-	-	6 8%	1 3%	-	3 3%	-	6 7%
At least once/week	21 7%	1 3%	7 16%	8 11%	1 2%	0 1%	12 9%	4 4%	6 7%
Monthly	14 5%	-	2 5%	2 3%	5 12%	0 1%	6 5%	1 1%	6 7%
Every few months	16 5%	6 16%	1 2%	1 1%	2 4%	3 7%	8 7%	3 4%	4 4%
Rarely	31 10%	3 8%	1 3%	7 9%	10 26%	8 18%	16 13%	1 1%	14 15%
No (Never)	202 67%	26 74%	31 69%	52 67%	19 47%	32 73%	82 64%	68 85%	53 57%
Don't Know	7 2%	-	2 5%	1 1%	3 7%	0 1%	1 1%	3 4%	3 3%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q22. Do you think you would use the path for walking, hiking, or other recreational uses?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor-ridor	Non-Cor-ridor	Al-bany	Cor-val-lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-port	Op-pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Most days/week	13 4%	4 3%	9 6%	12E 9%	2 1%	7 6%	6 4%	13I 7%	-	13 6%	0 1%	1 2%	9 7%	1 1%	4 5%	6 4%	7 5%	3 5%	4 5%	6 4%
At least once/week	29 10%	15 10%	14 9%	11 8%	17 10%	7 6%	22 12%	29I 15%	-	28 13%	1 1%	-	7 5%	15M 18%	7 8%	21Q 15%	7 5%	8 13%	8 9%	13 9%
Monthly	31 10%	10 7%	22 14%	15 11%	16 10%	15 12%	16 9%	31I 17%	-	29K 13%	-	3 11%	20O 16%	7 9%	3 4%	15 10%	16 11%	7 12%	11 12%	12 8%
Every few months	41 14%	28 19%	14 9%	14 10%	27 17%	22 18%	20 11%	41I 22%	-	40K 18%	1 1%	1 4%	24 19%	11 13%	6 7%	16 11%	26 17%	3 6%	7 8%	31S 21%
Rarely	39 13%	14 10%	24 16%	13 10%	26 16%	21 17%	18 10%	39I 21%	-	32 14%	6 12%	0 1%	15 12%	13 15%	11 13%	21 14%	18 11%	2 4%	13 15%	23 16%
No (Never)	141 47%	72 49%	68 45%	65 48%	75 46%	51 41%	90 51%	32 17%	108H 95%	79 35%	42J 82%	20J 80%	53 42%	35 41%	49MN 59%	64 44%	76 50%	35 59%	43 48%	59 40%
Don't Know	6 2%	4 3%	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	1 *	5 3%	0 *	5H 5%	4 2%	2 3%	0 1%	-	3 4%	3 3%	3 2%	3 2%	1 1%	3 3%	2 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

The Corvallis-Albany path could provide a biking and walking path between the two cities for both commuting and recreational use.

Q22. Do you think you would use the path for walking, hiking, or other recreational uses?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Most days/week	13 4%	1 2%	3 7%	5 6%	0 1%	1 2%	4 3%	3 3%	7 8%
At least once/week	29 10%	5 13%	3 8%	9 11%	2 4%	6 14%	18 14%	6 7%	5 6%
Monthly	31 10%	4 10%	6 12%	11 14%	3 7%	2 5%	10 8%	11 14%	11 12%
Every few months	41 14%	8C 22%	-	9 12%	12CF 29%	2 5%	16 13%	8 9%	18 19%
Rarely	39 13%	3 8%	7 16%	5 7%	6 15%	12D 28%	23 18%	7 9%	8 9%
No (Never)	141 47%	16 44%	25 56%	38 48%	16 41%	19 42%	55 43%	44 55%	41 45%
Don't Know	6 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 4%	2 1%	2 3%	2 2%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



Q23. From what you know or may have heard, do you support or oppose building this path between Corvallis and Albany?

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor-ridor	Non-Cor-ridor	Al-bany	Cor-val-lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-port	Op-pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Total support	224 75%	105 71%	119 78%	101 75%	123 74%	105 85%	119 67%	172 92%	53 46%	224 100%	-	-	102 80%	65 76%	55 66%	110 75%	114 74%	44 74%	67 74%	111 76%
Strongly support	146 49%	67 46%	79 52%	59 44%	87 53%	78 64%	68 38%	124 66%	22 20%	146 65%	-	-	70 55%	42 49%	34 41%	70 47%	76 50%	19 32%	51 57%	76 52%
Somewhat support	78 26%	38 26%	40 26%	42 31%	36 22%	27 22%	51 29%	48 26%	30 27%	78 35%	-	-	32 25%	23 27%	21 25%	41 28%	37 24%	25 42%	16 18%	35 24%
Total oppose	51 17%	31 21%	20 13%	20 15%	31 19%	12 10%	40 22%	9 5%	43 37%	-	51 100%	-	19 15%	12 14%	19 23%	25 17%	26 17%	11 19%	15 17%	24 16%
Somewhat oppose	11 4%	9 6%	2 1%	5 4%	6 4%	2 2%	9 5%	2 1%	9 8%	-	11 21%	-	6 5%	-	5 6%	5 3%	6 4%	7 11%	2 2%	2 1%
Strongly oppose	40 13%	22 15%	18 12%	15 11%	25 15%	10 8%	30 17%	7 4%	34 30%	-	40 79%	-	13 10%	12 14%	14 17%	20 14%	20 13%	4 7%	13 15%	22 15%
Don't know	25 8%	12 8%	13 8%	14 10%	11 7%	6 5%	18 10%	6 3%	18 16%	-	-	25 100%	6 5%	8 10%	9 11%	12 8%	13 8%	5 8%	8 9%	11 8%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q23. From what you know or may have heard, do you support or oppose building this path between Corvallis and Albany?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Total support -----	224 75%	26 74%	32 72%	60 77%	32 81%	32 73%	103H 81%	50 63%	71 76%
Strongly support	146 49%	17 47%	21 47%	42 54%	24 60%	18 42%	64 51%	30 38%	52 56%
Somewhat support	78 26%	10 27%	11 25%	18 23%	8 21%	14 31%	39 30%	20 25%	19 21%
Total oppose -----	51 17%	6 18%	7 16%	15 19%	4 11%	10 22%	16 13%	24GI 30%	11 12%
Somewhat oppose	11 4%	5 13%	1 2%	3 4%	-	1 2%	7 5%	2 3%	2 2%
Strongly oppose	40 13%	2 5%	6 14%	12 16%	4 11%	9 20%	9 7%	22GI 27%	9 10%
Don't know	25 8%	3 9%	5 12%	3 4%	3 8%	2 5%	9 7%	6 7%	11 11%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q24. Do you travel more than once a week along Highway 20 between Corvallis and Albany...?

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
To get to work or school	58 19%	39 27% (C)	18 12%	37 27% (E)	21 12%	26 21%	32 18%	41 22%	16 14%	47 21%	10 19%	1 5%	30 23%	21 25%	7 8%	24 17%	33 22%	9 15%	23 25%	26 18%
For shopping or errands	57 19%	35 24%	22 14%	27 20%	31 19%	28 22%	29 17%	40 21%	17 15%	47 21%	7 14%	3 13%	20 16%	15 17%	21 26%	33 23%	24 15%	12 20%	17 19%	27 19%
For leisure activities, like going to a restaurant or movie	40 13%	23 16%	17 11%	20 15%	20 12%	13 10%	27 15%	28 15%	12 11%	28 12%	8 15%	5 18%	17 14%	6 7%	15 18%	17 12%	23 15%	7 12%	13 15%	18 13%
For recreation (fun)	17 6%	7 5%	10 7%	9 7%	8 5%	10 8%	8 4%	12 7%	5 4%	15 6%	2 5%	0 1%	8 6%	4 4%	5 6%	11 8%	6 4%	3 5%	7 7%	7 5%
For exercise	7 2%	4 3%	3 2%	4 3%	3 2%	7 5%	0 *	5 3%	2 2%	5 2%	0 1%	2 7%	2 2%	3 3%	1 2%	4 3%	3 2%	-	2 2%	5 3%
None of the above	170 57%	70 47%	99 65%	66 49%	104 63%	73 59%	97 55%	102 55%	68 60%	126 56%	29 56%	15 62%	71 56%	48 56%	48 58%	82 56%	88 58%	34 56%	49 54%	85 58%
Don't know	1 *	-	1 *	1 1%	-	0 *	0 *	-	1 1%	0 *	0 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	0 *	0 *	-	-	1 *

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q24. Do you travel more than once a week along Highway 20 between Corvallis and Albany...?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
To get to work or school	58 19%	1 3%	7 16%	20 25%	11 28%	11B 26%	23 18%	16 20%	19 21%
For shopping or errands	57 19%	1 3%	4 10%	16 21%	9 23%	10 22%	32I 25%	17 22%	8 9%
For leisure activities, like going to a restaurant or movie	40 13%	4 12%	3 7%	12 15%	7 18%	4 9%	17 13%	12 15%	11 12%
For recreation (fun)	17 6%	-	3 6%	8 10%	-	3 6%	9 7%	3 4%	5 5%
For exercise	7 2%	-	-	4 6%	-	1 3%	4 3%	1 1%	2 2%
None of the above	170 57%	29DF 82%	30 68%	35 45%	20 49%	23 53%	73 57%	41 51%	56 61%
Don't know	1 *	-	-	-	-	0 1%	-	1 1%	-

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q25. How important is it for the County and ODOT to provide walking and biking paths for residents in order to facilitate alternative modes of transportation between Corvallis and Albany?

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Q2: Yes	Q2: No	Q19-Q22: Yes	Q19-Q22: No	Support	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad	Some Coll	Coll Grad	
	ridor	Cor-	bany	val-	Yes	No	Yes	No	port	pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	Or <	Coll	Or >	
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat	250 83%	126 85%	124 82%	111 82%	139 84%	108 88%	142 80%	175 94%	74 65%	216 96% KL	20 39%	14 55%	118 93%	68 80%	61 74%	119 81%	131 86%	54 90%	70 77%	124 85%
Very important	148 49%	72 49%	76 50%	65 48%	83 50%	77 63%	71 40%	116 62%	32 28%	139 62% KL	1 2%	7 29%	71 56%	41 48%	35 42%	74 50%	74 49%	31 51%	47 52%	70 48%
Somewhat important	102 34%	54 36%	48 32%	46 34%	56 34%	31 25%	71 40%	60 32%	42 37%	76 34%	19 37%	6 26%	47 37%	28 32%	26 32%	45 31%	57 37%	23 38%	23 26%	54 37%
Not very/Not at all	45 15%	20 14%	25 16%	22 17%	23 14%	13 11%	32 18%	9 5%	36 32% H	6 3%	31 61% J	8 32% J	9 7%	17 20%	17 21% M	26 18%	19 12%	5 8%	20 22%	19 13%
Not very important	19 6%	7 5%	12 8%	9 6%	10 6%	9 7%	10 6%	9 5%	10 9%	5 2%	11 21% J	3 14% J	-	11 13% M	7 9% M	13 9%	6 4%	2 4%	10 11%	6 4%
Not at all important	26 9%	14 9%	12 8%	14 10%	12 7%	4 3%	22 12% F	0 *	26 23% H	1 1%	21 40% J	4 18% J	9 7%	6 7%	10 12% M	13 9%	13 8%	2 4%	10 11%	13 9%
Don't know	5 2%	2 1%	4 2%	1 1%	4 2%	2 1%	3 2%	2 1%	3 3%	2 1%	-	3 12% JK	-	-	4 5% MN	2 2%	3 2%	1 2%	0 *	3 2%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q25. How important is it for the County and ODOT to provide walking and biking paths for residents in order to facilitate alternative modes of transportation between Corvallis and Albany?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Very/Somewhat	250 83%	35 97%	38 86%	68 88%	31 77%	33 76%	109 86%	59 74%	82 88%
Very important	148 49%	18 51%	21 46%	45 58%	18 44%	26 60%	66 52%	36 45%	45 49%
Somewhat important	102 34%	17 46%	18 39%	23 30%	13 33%	7 16%	43 34%	22 28%	36 39%
Not very/Not at all	45 15%	1 3%	5 12%	9 12%	9 23%	10 24%	16 12%	20 25%	9 10%
Not very important	19 6%	1 3%	2 5%	3 3%	3 8%	6 13%	8 6%	5 7%	6 6%
Not at all important	26 9%	-	3 7%	7 8%	6 14%	5 11%	8 6%	15 19%	3 4%
Don't know	5 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	2 2%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q26. How important is it for the County and ODOT to provide walking and biking paths between Corvallis and Albany in order to promote overall health and activity among residents?

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Q2: Yes	Q2: No	Q19-Q22: Yes	Q19-Q22: No	Sup-	Op-	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-	H.S. Grad	Some Coll	Coll Grad	
	ridor	ridor	bany	val-	Yes	No	Yes	No	port	pose					male	male	Or <	Coll	Or >	
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
Very/Somewhat	248 83%	121 82%	127 84%	109 81%	139 84%	111G 90%	138 78%	175I 94%	73 64%	212KL 94%	22 42%	15 61%	122NO 96%	67 78%	58 70%	119 81%	129 84%	52 87%	72 79%	123 84%
Very important	152 51%	73 50%	78 51%	59 44%	93 56%	78G 64%	73 41%	115I 62%	36 32%	134KL 60%	12 23%	5 22%	70 55%	44 51%	38 46%	70 48%	81 53%	25 41%	48 53%	78 54%
Somewhat important	97 32%	47 32%	49 32%	50 37%	46 28%	33 26%	64 36%	60 32%	36 32%	77 35%	10 19%	10 39%	52O 41%	24 27%	20 25%	49 33%	48 31%	27 46%	24 26%	44 30%
Not very/Not at all	45 15%	24 16%	21 14%	22 16%	23 14%	10 8%	35F 20%	10 5%	35H 31%	10 4%	30JL 58%	5J 22%	6 4%	16M 19%	21M 25%	25 17%	20 13%	7 11%	18 20%	19 13%
Not very important	18 6%	8 5%	10 6%	9 7%	9 5%	4 3%	14 8%	5 2%	13H 12%	8 4%	8J 15%	2 9%	- -	9M 10%	8M 9%	10 7%	8 5%	3 4%	8 9%	6 4%
Not at all important	27 9%	15 10%	12 8%	13 10%	14 8%	6 5%	21 12%	5 3%	22H 19%	2 1%	22JL 43%	3J 14%	6 4%	8 9%	13M 16%	15 10%	12 8%	4 6%	10 11%	13 9%
Don't know	7 2%	3 2%	3 2%	4 3%	3 2%	2 2%	5 3%	1 1%	6 5%	3 1%	- -	4JK 17%	- -	2 2%	4M 5%	3 2%	4 3%	1 2%	0 *	4 3%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q26. How important is it for the County and ODOT to provide walking and biking paths between Corvallis and Albany in order to promote overall health and activity among residents?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Very/Somewhat -----	248 83%	33 91%	37 82%	72F 93%	33 83%	34 77%	110H 86%	56 70%	82H 89%
Very important	152 51%	15 42%	17 39%	53C 68%	19 49%	29 66%	69 54%	39 49%	44 47%
Somewhat important	97 32%	18F 49%	19F 43%	19 25%	14 34%	5 11%	41 32%	17 21%	39H 42%
Not very/Not at all -----	45 15%	3 9%	6 15%	6 7%	7 17%	10D 23%	14 11%	23GI 28%	9 10%
Not very important	18 6%	2 6%	4 8%	2 3%	2 4%	4 9%	7 6%	7 9%	4 4%
Not at all important	27 9%	1 3%	3 6%	4 5%	5 13%	6 14%	6 5%	15GI 19%	5 6%
Don't know	7 2%	-	1 3%	-	-	-	4 3%	2 2%	1 1%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q27. On a scale of 1 to 7, how good of an idea is it to route a public-use path alongside farmland?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
1-Very bad idea	40 13%	23 16%	16 11%	15 11%	24 15%	12 10%	27 15%	7 4%	32H 29%	7 3%	27JL 54%	6J 23%	9 7%	12 14%	16M 19%	17 11%	23 15%	6 9%	16 17%	16 11%
2-Bad idea	8 3%	5 3%	4 2%	7 5%	2 1%	3 2%	6 3%	2 1%	6 6%	3 1%	5J 11%	-	2 2%	2 2%	4 5%	5 4%	3 2%	3 6%	1 2%	4 2%
3-Somewhat bad idea	26 9%	12 8%	14 9%	9 7%	17 10%	0 *	26F 15%	15 8%	11 10%	22 10%	3 7%	1 4%	15 12%	6 7%	5 6%	8 6%	18 12%	10 16%	6 7%	10 7%
4-Neither good nor bad	45 15%	23 15%	23 15%	20 15%	26 16%	13 11%	32 18%	27 15%	18 16%	33 15%	7 13%	5 22%	13 11%	16 19%	15 18%	20 14%	25 17%	10 17%	9 10%	26 18%
5-Somewhat good idea	37 12%	18 12%	19 12%	19 14%	18 11%	14 12%	23 13%	21 11%	16 14%	31 14%	3 5%	4 15%	16 12%	11 12%	11 13%	21 15%	15 10%	11 18%	10 12%	16 11%
6-Good idea	72 24%	33 22%	39 26%	22 16%	50D 30%	47G 38%	25 14%	65I 35%	8 7%	69KL 31%	1 2%	2 9%	44O 34%	16 19%	13 15%	42 29%	30 20%	2 3%	29R 33%	41R 28%
7-Very good idea	54 18%	29 20%	24 16%	35E 26%	19 12%	30 25%	23 13%	43I 23%	10 9%	51K 23%	1 1%	2 9%	22 17%	18 21%	13 16%	25 17%	29 19%	14 23%	12 14%	27 18%
Don't know	18 6%	4 3%	13 9%	9 6%	9 5%	2 2%	15 9%	6 3%	12 10%	9 4%	4 8%	5J 20%	6 4%	5 6%	6 8%	8 6%	9 6%	5 8%	5 6%	7 5%
Bottom 3 Box (1-3)	74 25%	40 27%	34 22%	31 23%	43 26%	15 13%	59F 33%	25 13%	50H 44%	31 14%	36JL 71%	6 26%	27 21%	20 23%	25 31%	30 21%	44 29%	19 31%	24 26%	30 20%
Top 3 Box (5-7)	163 54%	80 54%	82 54%	76 56%	87 53%	92G 75%	71 40%	129I 69%	34 30%	151KL 67%	4 8%	8K 32%	81O 64%	45 52%	36 44%	89 60%	74 48%	27 44%	52 58%	83 57%
Mean base	282 94%	144 97%	139 91%	126 94%	156 95%	121 98%	162 91%	181 97%	102 90%	216L 96%	47 92%	20 80%	122 96%	81 94%	77 92%	139 94%	144 94%	55 92%	85 94%	139 95%
Mean	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	5.3G	4.1	5.3I	3.4	5.3KL	2.1	3.8K	5.0O	4.6	4.2	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.8

(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q27. On a scale of 1 to 7, how good of an idea is it to route a public-use path alongside farmland?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
1-Very bad idea	40 13%	2 6%	4 8%	16 21%	2 4%	5 11%	9 7%	23GI 28%	8 8%
2-Bad idea	8 3%	0 1%	2 5%	3 4%	1 2%	0 1%	5 4%	2 3%	1 1%
3-Somewhat bad idea	26 9%	15DEF 41%	6 13%	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	11 9%	10 13%	5 5%
4-Neither good nor bad	45 15%	4 12%	4 9%	3 4%	11D 28%	11D 24%	21 16%	10 12%	15 16%
5-Somewhat good idea	37 12%	2 6%	9 21%	8 11%	7 18%	4 8%	18 14%	8 10%	11 11%
6-Good idea	72 24%	3 9%	11 25%	27 35%	11 29%	11 26%	33 26%	10 13%	29H 31%
7-Very good idea	54 18%	8 23%	6 13%	17 22%	3 8%	8 18%	21 16%	11 14%	21 23%
Don't know	18 6%	1 2%	2 5%	1 2%	4 10%	4 10%	8 7%	6 7%	4 4%
Bottom 3 Box (1-3)	74 25%	17EF 48%	12 27%	21 27%	3 8%	6 14%	26 20%	35GI 44%	13 15%
Top 3 Box (5-7)	163 54%	14 38%	26 59%	52 67%	22 55%	23 52%	73H 57%	30 37%	60H 65%
Mean base	282 94%	35 98%	42 95%	76 98%	36 90%	40 90%	119 93%	74 93%	89 96%
Mean	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8H	3.7	5.1H

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Benton County is exploring options to complete the middle section of the path, from Independence Highway to Scenic Drive. Land along the highway is not available, and the railroad is unwilling to sell land along its tracks. In order to complete the path, Benton County will need to purchase easements across property owners' land, perhaps by using eminent domain. Some farmers along the proposed path route are concerned about effects on their business, such as disruption to their operations, vandalism, or later restrictions imposed on their use of sprays.

Q28. Having heard this information, do you now support or oppose building the bicycle path between Corvallis and Albany?

	Area		City			Q2:		Q19-Q22:		Q23:			Age			Gender		Education		
	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Bicyclist	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-	Op-	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-	H.S.	Some	Coll
	ridor	ridor	bany	val-	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	port	pose	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	Grad	Coll	Grad
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Total support	166 55%	78 53%	87 57%	68 50%	98 59%	85G 69%	80 45%	135I 72%	31 27%	163KL 73%	1 1%	2 7%	84O 66%	46 54%	35 43%	86 59%	79 52%	22 37%	56R 62%	87R 59%
Strongly support	80 27%	34 23%	46 30%	43 32%	37 22%	49G 39%	31 18%	72I 39%	8 7%	79KL 35%	-	1 2%	33 26%	28 33%	18 22%	47 32%	32 21%	9 15%	28 31%	42 29%
Somewhat support	86 29%	44 30%	42 28%	25 19%	61D 37%	37 30%	49 28%	63 34%	23 20%	84KL 37%	1 1%	1 5%	51NO 40%	18 21%	17 21%	39 27%	47 31%	13 22%	28 31%	45 31%
Total oppose	115 38%	61 41%	55 36%	57 43%	58 35%	31 26%	84F 47%	45 24%	70H 62%	53 24%	45J 88%	17J 71%	35 28%	37 43%	41M 49%	56 38%	59 39%	28 47%	32 36%	53 37%
Somewhat oppose	32 11%	14 10%	18 12%	23E 17%	10 6%	6 5%	27F 15%	16 8%	17 15%	22 10%	6 12%	5 19%	13 10%	8 9%	11 13%	15 10%	17 11%	15ST 25%	6 6%	11 8%
Strongly oppose	83 28%	46 31%	36 24%	35 26%	48 29%	26 21%	57 32%	29 16%	54H 47%	31 14%	39J 76%	13J 52%	23 18%	29 34%	30M 36%	41 28%	42 28%	13 22%	26 29%	42 29%
Don't know	19 6%	9 6%	10 7%	10 7%	10 6%	6 5%	13 7%	7 4%	12 11%	8 4%	5 11%	5J 22%	8 6%	3 3%	7 8%	5 3%	15 10%	9ST 15%	2 3%	6 4%

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Benton County is exploring options to complete the middle section of the path, from Independence Highway to Scenic Drive. Land along the highway is not available, and the railroad is unwilling to sell land along its tracks. In order to complete the path, Benton County will need to purchase easements across property owners' land, perhaps by using eminent domain. Some farmers along the proposed path route are concerned about effects on their business, such as disruption to their operations, vandalism, or later restrictions imposed on their use of sprays.

Q28. Having heard this information, do you now support or oppose building the bicycle path between Corvallis and Albany?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Total support	166 55%	18 49%	21 48%	55F 70%	29F 73%	18 42%	75H 59%	31 39%	59H 64%
Strongly support	80 27%	9 26%	7 16%	28 36%	7 19%	13 30%	33 26%	17 21%	30 33%
Somewhat support	86 29%	8 24%	14 32%	26F 34%	22F 54%	5 12%	42 33%	14 18%	29 32%
Total oppose	115 38%	12 34%	21 46%	22 28%	9 23%	23DE 53%	42 33%	45GI 56%	29 31%
Somewhat oppose	32 11%	5 15%	10D 22%	3 4%	1 2%	5 11%	12 9%	9 11%	12 13%
Strongly oppose	83 28%	7 19%	11 25%	19 24%	9 22%	18 42%	30 24%	36GI 45%	17 18%
Don't know	19 6%	6D 16%	3 6%	1 1%	1 4%	2 5%	11 8%	4 5%	5 5%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q29. What advice would you like to give Benton County as they make the decision about how to move forward with the proposed bicycle-pedestrian path?

	Area		City		Q2: Cor- val-		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Bicyclist	Yes	No	Yes	No	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-	H.S.	Some	Coll	
		ridor	Cor-	ridor	bany	val-	Yes	No	Yes	No	port	pose				male	Or <	Coll	Or >	
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
Good idea/support/go ahead and buy farmer's land	34 11%	13 9%	21 14%	6 4%	28D 17%	17 14%	17 9%	31I 17%	3 2%	33K 15%	1 1%	-	17 14%	8 9%	8 10%	20 13%	14 9%	5 8%	12 13%	16 11%
Compromise with the farmers/landowners	22 7%	10 7%	12 8%	9 7%	13 8%	12 9%	11 6%	13 7%	9 8%	21 9%	-	1 5%	12 9%	5 6%	6 7%	4 3%	18P 12%	2 3%	6 7%	14 10%
Stay away from farmland/private property	22 7%	11 7%	11 7%	10 7%	12 7%	4 3%	18 10%	11 6%	11 9%	11 5%	6 11%	5J 21%	4 3%	7 9%	10M 12%	8 6%	14 9%	3 5%	8 9%	11 7%
Do not support the idea/oppose	19 6%	12 8%	7 5%	8 6%	11 6%	4 3%	15 9%	2 1%	17H 15%	2 1%	15J 30%	2J 8%	9 7%	3 4%	6 7%	6 4%	13 8%	3 5%	7 8%	8 5%
Conduct meeting with residents/listen to residents input	11 4%	2 2%	9 6%	3 2%	8 5%	5 4%	6 3%	10 5%	1 1%	9 4%	2 3%	1 3%	-	6M 7%	5M 6%	8 6%	3 2%	1 2%	6 6%	4 3%
Find alternative routes	11 4%	3 2%	8 5%	3 2%	8 5%	5 4%	6 3%	7 4%	4 4%	10 4%	1 2%	-	3 3%	4 4%	3 4%	7 5%	3 2%	3 6%	1 1%	6 4%
Use funding elsewhere	7 2%	5 3%	3 2%	4 3%	4 2%	2 2%	5 3%	0 *	7H 6%	-	3J 7%	4J 16%	-	6M 7%	2 2%	2 1%	5 4%	1 2%	3 3%	4 3%
Build path along Hwy 34/Riverside	6 2%	4 3%	2 1%	2 1%	4 2%	4 3%	2 1%	3 1%	3 3%	1 1%	5J 9%	-	-	3 3%	3 4%	4 3%	2 1%	1 1%	4 4%	1 1%
Highway 20 is not ideal	5 2%	4 3%	1 *	4 3%	1 *	1 *	4 2%	4 2%	1 1%	4 2%	1 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	4 2%	3 4%	-	2 1%
Safety (general)	4 1%	3 2%	1 1%	4 3%	-	-	4 2%	2 1%	1 1%	4 2%	-	-	-	3 4%	0 *	-	4 2%	1 2%	2 2%	0 *
Provide additional information on proposal	3 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	3 2%	1 *	3 2%	0 *	3 1%	0 1%	0 1%	-	1 1%	2 3%	3 2%	0 *	-	1 1%	3 2%
Get land along highway/work with railroad	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	1 *	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	0 1%	1 1%	1 1%
Focus on railroads/railroad paths	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 *	-	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	0 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 2%	2 1%	0 *	-	0 *	2 1%
Other	37 12%	20 13%	17 11%	20 15%	17 10%	17 14%	20 14%	26 14%	11 10%	28 12%	13 13%	11 11%	15 12%	14 16%	8 9%	29Q 20%	8 5%	3 5%	6 6%	29S 20%
None/Nothing	78 26%	47 32%	30 20%	43 32%	35 21%	30 24%	48 27%	50 27%	28 24%	63 28%	9 18%	6 23%	45N 35%	15 17%	18 22%	35 24%	43 28%	24T 41%	25 28%	28 19%
Don't know	36 12%	11 8%	25 16%	15 11%	22 13%	19 15%	17 10%	21 11%	15 13%	32 14%	1 2%	3 11%	19 15%	9 11%	7 8%	16 11%	20 13%	10 16%	9 10%	16 11%

(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q29. What advice would you like to give Benton County as they make the decision about how to move forward with the proposed bicycle-pedestrian path?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Good idea/support/go ahead and buy farmer's land	34 11%	3 8%	8 18%	8 11%	7 18%	7 15%	22H 18%	3 4%	8 9%
Compromise with the farmers/landowners	22 7%	5 14%	4 10%	4 5%	2 4%	3 8%	12 9%	4 4%	7 8%
Stay away from farmland/private property	22 7%	2 5%	7 16%	3 4%	2 6%	4 10%	8 6%	8 10%	6 7%
Do not support the idea/oppose	19 6%	-	3 6%	8 11%	0 1%	2 4%	5 4%	10 12%	4 5%
Conduct meeting with residents/listen to residents input	11 4%	1 2%	1 2%	2 3%	2 6%	3 7%	4 3%	4 5%	3 4%
Find alternative routes	11 4%	3 10%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	7 6%	1 1%	3 3%
Use funding elsewhere	7 2%	-	1 2%	2 3%	-	3 7%	5 4%	2 3%	1 1%
Build path along Hwy 34/Riverside	6 2%	2 5%	-	2 3%	1 1%	-	1 1%	3 4%	2 2%
Highway 20 is not ideal	5 2%	-	0 1%	1 1%	-	1 2%	0 *	0 *	4 4%
Safety (general)	4 1%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%	-	-	0 *	1 1%	2 2%
Provide additional information on proposal	3 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	2 4%	2 2%	0 *	1 1%
Get land along highway/work with railroad	3 1%	0 1%	-	-	1 2%	0 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%
Focus on railroads/railroad paths	2 1%	-	-	-	-	0 1%	-	1 2%	1 1%
Other	37 12%	2 6%	4 9%	6 7%	12D 31%	6 14%	12 10%	13 16%	12 13%
None/Nothing	78 26%	13 36%	13 29%	25 32%	8 20%	8 18%	35 27%	20 24%	23 25%
Don't know	36 12%	4 11%	1 1%	15 19%	5 11%	3 7%	12 10%	9 11%	15 16%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q30. Age

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-	Op-	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-	H.S.	Some	Coll
		ridor	Cor-	bany	val-															
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
18 - 24	41 14%	20 14%	20 13%	8 6%	32D 20%	13 10%	28 16%	35I 19%	6 5%	35 16%	6 11%	-	41NO 32%	-	-	20 14%	21 13%	15T 25%	22T 24%	4 3%
25 - 34	86 29%	41 28%	45 30%	40 30%	46 28%	42 34%	45 25%	54 29%	33 29%	67 30%	13 25%	6 26%	86NO 68%	-	-	47 32%	40 26%	20 34%	20 22%	46 32%
35 - 54	86 29%	48 32%	38 25%	49E 36%	37 22%	38 31%	48 27%	57 31%	28 25%	65 29%	12 24%	8 34%	-	86MO 100%	-	42 28%	44 29%	12 20%	24 26%	50 34%
55 - 64	30 10%	14 9%	16 11%	11 8%	18 11%	14 12%	16 9%	20 11%	10 9%	21 10%	5 9%	4 15%	-	-	30MN 36%	12 8%	18 12%	4 6%	9 10%	17 11%
65+	53 18%	24 17%	28 19%	25 19%	28 17%	14 12%	39 22%	19 10%	33H 29%	33 15%	14 28%	5 22%	-	-	53MN 64%	24 16%	29 19%	8 13%	15 17%	29 20%
Refused	5 2%	1 *	4 3%	1 1%	3 2%	2 2%	3 1%	1 1%	3 3%	2 1%	1 3%	1 3%	-	-	-	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 *

(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q30. Age

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
18 - 24	41 14%	11F 30%	7 16%	9 12%	7 18%	2 5%	22 17%	7 9%	11 12%
25 - 34	86 29%	10F 28%	18F 41%	38F 49%	10F 26%	2 5%	33 26%	14 18%	39H 42%
35 - 54	86 29%	9 25%	11 24%	16 21%	14 36%	24CD 54%	28 22%	34G 42%	24 26%
55 - 64	30 10%	1 2%	1 2%	7 8%	3 8%	7 16%	15 12%	9 11%	6 7%
65+	53 18%	5 15%	7 16%	8 10%	4 11%	9 20%	26 21%	15 19%	12 13%
Refused	5 2%	-	-	-	-	-	3 2%	1 2%	-

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



Q31. What is the highest level of Education you've had the opportunity to complete?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Less than H.S.	12 4%	3 2%	9 6%	3 2%	9 5%	2 1%	11 6%	10 5%	3 2%	10 5%	1 3%	1 3%	6 4%	3 4%	2 3%	6 4%	6 4%	12ST 20%	-	-
High school graduate	48 16%	28 19%	19 13%	32E 24%	16 10%	12 9%	36 20%	17 9%	31H 27%	34 15%	10 19%	4 16%	30 23%	9 10%	9 11%	24 16%	24 15%	48ST 80%	-	-
Some college/ associate or technical	90 30%	42 28%	49 32%	44 32%	46 28%	36 30%	54 30%	61 33%	30 26%	67 30%	15 30%	8 31%	42 33%	24 28%	24 29%	46 31%	44 29%	-	90RT 100%	-
College graduate	103 34%	54 37%	49 32%	40 29%	63 38%	48 39%	55 31%	69 37%	34 30%	75 34%	18 35%	10 41%	38 30%	36 42%	29 35%	50 34%	54 35%	-	-	103RS 71%
Post college/ Graduate degree	43 14%	20 13%	23 15%	15 11%	27 17%	24 20%	19 11%	29 16%	13 12%	36 16%	6 11%	1 6%	11 9%	14 16%	17 20%	19 13%	24 16%	-	-	43RS 29%
Refused	4 1%	1 *	3 2%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 *	3 3%	2 1%	1 2%	1 3%	-	-	2 2%	2 1%	2 1%	-	-	-

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q31. What is the highest level of Education you've had the opportunity to complete?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Less than H.S.	12 4%	7 19%	CD	-	-	1 2%	9 7%	2 2%	2 2%
High school graduate	48 16%	8 22%	14 32%	EF	14 18%	1 3%	3 7%	15 12%	13 17%
Some college/ associate or technical	90 30%	8 23%	18 40%	28 36%	10 25%	12 28%	35 27%	29 36%	27 29%
College graduate	103 34%	6 17%	10 22%	32 41%	21 54%	BC	15 35%	42 33%	27 33%
Post college/ Graduate degree	43 14%	6 17%	3 6%	3 4%	7 19%	12 27%	CD	24 19%	10 12%
Refused	4 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	-	2 2%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

Q32. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
White/Caucasian	264 88%	124 84%	140 92%	126 94%	138 83%	104 84%	160 91%	166 89%	98 86%	198 88%	45 88%	20 83%	111 87%	76 89%	74 90%	131 89%	133 87%	48 80%	84 93%	130 89%
African American/ Black	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 *	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	-	1 1%
Hispanic/Latino	10 3%	8 5%	2 1%	4 3%	5 3%	6 5%	4 2%	6 3%	4 4%	6 3%	-	4 16%	JK 6%	8 2%	2 2%	-	2 1%	8 5%	4 7%	5 6%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	16 5%	13 9%	2 2%	3 2%	13 8%	6 5%	10 6%	6 3%	10 9%	11 5%	5 10%	-	11 9%	4 5%	1 2%	5 4%	11 7%	7 12%	1 1%	8 5%
Native American/ American Indian	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 2%	2 2%	1 *	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	1 *	2 3%	-	1 *
Other	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%	1 *	2 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 4%	-	-	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-	0 *	2 1%
Refused	7 2%	2 2%	5 3%	3 2%	4 2%	4 4%	3 2%	6 3%	2 1%	6 3%	0 1%	1 3%	-	2 2%	3 4%	5 4%	2 1%	0 1%	0 *	5 3%

(sig=.05) (all pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q32. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K (B)	\$25K- \$50K (C)	\$50K- \$75K (D)	\$75K- \$100K (E)	\$100K Or > (F)	Dem (G)	Rep (H)	Ind/ Other (I)
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
White/Caucasian	264 88%	29 82%	40 90%	74 95%	36 90%	39 89%	108 85%	75 93%	81 88%
African American/ Black	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%
Hispanic/Latino	10 3%	2 6%	4 8%	-	-	2 4%	4 3%	-	6 6%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	16 5%	4 10%	-	3 4%	4 9%	1 3%	11 9%	1 2%	3 4%
Native American/ American Indian	3 1%	2 6%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	2 2%
Other	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	0 1%	-	0 *	1 2%	1 1%
Refused	7 2%	0 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	4 3%	2 2%	2 2%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q33. What was your total household income for 2014?

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Less than \$25,000	36 12%	17 12%	18 12%	15 11%	20 12%	5 4%	30 17%	20 11%	16 14%	26 12%	6 12%	3 13%	21 16%	9 10%	6 7%	10 7%	26 17%	15 25%	8 9%	12 8%
\$25,000 to less than \$50,000	45 15%	23 15%	22 14%	27 20%	17 10%	12 10%	33 18%	26 14%	18 16%	32 14%	7 14%	5 21%	25 20%	11 13%	8 10%	23 16%	21 14%	14 24%	18 20%	12 8%
\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	78 26%	39 26%	39 25%	32 23%	46 28%	41 33%	37 21%	48 26%	30 26%	60 27%	15 29%	3 13%	47 37%	16 19%	15 18%	40 27%	38 25%	14 24%	28 31%	35 24%
\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	40 13%	23 15%	17 11%	11 8%	29 17%	18 15%	22 12%	29 15%	11 10%	32 14%	4 9%	3 12%	18 14%	14 17%	8 9%	25 17%	14 9%	1 2%	10 11%	29 20%
\$100,000 to less than \$150,000	34 11%	17 11%	17 11%	17 12%	18 11%	18 15%	16 9%	22 12%	13 11%	24 11%	8 15%	2 9%	2 2%	20 23%	12 15%	17 12%	17 11%	4 6%	9 10%	21 15%
\$150,000+	10 3%	3 2%	7 5%	5 4%	4 2%	5 4%	4 2%	7 4%	2 2%	8 3%	2 4%	-	2 2%	4 4%	4 4%	7 5%	2 1%	0 1%	3 4%	6 4%
Refused	58 19%	27 18%	32 21%	27 20%	31 19%	23 19%	35 20%	35 19%	24 21%	42 19%	9 17%	8 32%	12 10%	12 13%	30 36%	24 16%	34 23%	12 19%	14 15%	30 21%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q33. What was your total household income for 2014?

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100%	45 100%	78 100%	40 100%	44 100%	128 100%	80 100%	92 100%
Less than \$25,000	36 12%	36CDEF 100%	-	-	-	-	16 12%	10 13%	10 11%
\$25,000 to less than \$50,000	45 15%	-	45BDEF 100%	-	-	-	11 9%	13 17%	20 21%
\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	78 26%	-	-	78BCEF 100%	-	-	29 23%	20 25%	29 31%
\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	40 13%	-	-	-	40BCDF 100%	-	24H 19%	5 6%	11 12%
\$100,000 to less than \$150,000	34 11%	-	-	-	-	34BCD 78%E	19 15%	12 14%	4 4%
\$150,000+	10 3%	-	-	-	-	10BCDE 22%	5 4%	1 2%	3 3%
Refused	58 19%	-	-	-	-	-	23 18%	19 24%	16 17%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q34. Party

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Cor- ridor	Non- Cor- ridor	Al- bany	Cor- val- lis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup- port	Op- pose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe- male	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300 100%	148 100%	152 100%	135 100%	165 100%	123 100%	177 100%	186 100%	114 100%	224 100%	51 100%	25 100%	127 100%	86 100%	83 100%	147 100%	153 100%	60 100%	90 100%	146 100%
Democrat	128 43%	59 40%	69 45%	42 31%	86 52%	52 42%	76 43%	84 45%	43 38%	103 46%	16 31%	9 35%	55 44%	28 32%	42 50%	63 43%	64 42%	24 40%	35 39%	66 45%
Republican	80 27%	44 30%	36 23%	46 34%	34 21%	22 18%	58 33%	41 22%	39 34%	50 22%	24 47%	6 23%	21 17%	34 39%	23 28%	39 27%	41 27%	15 25%	29 32%	36 25%
Independent	12 4%	7 5%	5 3%	7 5%	5 3%	7 6%	5 3%	7 4%	5 4%	8 4%	1 3%	3 11%	6 5%	3 4%	3 4%	4 3%	8 5%	-	1 2%	11 7%
Other	7 2%	1 *	6 4%	2 2%	5 3%	3 3%	4 2%	7 4%	0 *	6 3%	1 1%	-	6 4%	-	2 2%	6 4%	2 1%	3 6%	3 3%	1 *
Non-affiliated	73 24%	37 25%	36 24%	38 28%	35 21%	39 32%	34 19%	47 25%	27 24%	56 25%	9 18%	8 32%	39 31%	21 25%	13 16%	35 24%	39 25%	18 29%	23 25%	32 22%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST  
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.

Q34. Party

	Income						Party		
	Total	<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Democrat	128 43%	16 44%	11 26%	29 37%	24C 61%	24C 55%	128HI 100%	-	-
Republican	80 27%	10 28%	13 30%	20 25%	5 12%	13 29%	-	80GI 100%	-
Independent	12 4%	1 4%	3 6%	6 8%	-	1 3%	-	-	12GH 13%
Other	7 2%	-	3 8%	1 1%	-	2 5%	-	-	7G 8%
Non-affiliated	73 24%	8 23%	14F 31%	22F 29%	11 28%	4 8%	-	-	73GH 79%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI



DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q35. Voter Precinct

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300	148	152	135	165	123	177	186	114	224	51	25	127	86	83	147	153	60	90	146
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Bicycle Path Corridor	148	148	-	78	70	65	83	88	60	105	31	12	61	48	38	69	79	32	42	74
	49%	100%		58%	42%	53%	47%	47%	53%	47%	60%	48%	48%	56%	46%	47%	52%	53%	46%	51%
All other Corvallis and Albany	152	-	152	57	95	58	94	99	53	119	20	13	66	38	45	78	74	28	49	72
	51%		100%	42%	58%	47%	53%	53%	47%	53%	40%	52%	52%	44%	54%	53%	48%	47%	54%	49%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q35. Voter Precinct

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Bicycle Path Corridor	148 49%	17 48%	23 51%	39 50%	23 57%	20 45%	59 46%	44 56%	45 48%
All other Corvallis and Albany	152 51%	18 52%	22 49%	39 50%	17 43%	24 55%	69 54%	36 44%	48 52%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

Q36. Gender

	Area		City		Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education			
	Total	Corridor	Non-Corridor	Albany	Corvallis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Support	Oppose	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	H.S. Grad Or <	Some Coll	Coll Grad Or >
Total	300	148	152	135	165	123	177	186	114	224	51	25	127	86	83	147	153	60	90	146
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Male	147	69	78	73	74	71	76	100	47	110	25	12	67	42	36	147Q	-	30	46	69
	49%	46%	52%	54%	45%	58%	43%	54%	41%	49%	49%	48%	53%	48%	43%	100%		50%	51%	47%
Female	153	79	74	62	91	52	101	87	66	114	26	13	60	44	47	-	153P	30	44	77
	51%	54%	48%	46%	55%	42%	57%	46%	59%	51%	51%	52%	47%	52%	57%		100%	50%	49%	53%

-----  
 (sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

Q36. Gender

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Male	147 49%	10 28%	23 53%	40 51%	25 64%	24 55%	63 50%	39 49%	44 48%
Female	153 51%	26 72%	21 47%	38 49%	14 36%	20 45%	64 50%	41 51%	48 52%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

City

	Area		City			Q2: Bicyclist		Q19-Q22: CAB User		Q23: Support/Oppose Path			Age			Gender		Education		
	Total	Cor-	Non-	Al-	Cor-	Yes	No	Yes	No	Sup-	Op-	Und	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Fe-	H.S.	Some	Coll
		ridor	ridor	bany	val-															
Total	300 100%	148 100% (B)	152 100% (C)	135 100% (D)	165 100% (E)	123 100% (F)	177 100% (G)	186 100% (H)	114 100% (I)	224 100% (J)	51 100% (K)	25 100% (L)	127 100% (M)	86 100% (N)	83 100% (O)	147 100% (P)	153 100% (Q)	60 100% (R)	90 100% (S)	146 100% (T)
Albany	135 45%	78C 53%	57 37%	135E 100%	-	42 34%	93F 53%	77 41%	58 51%	101 45%	20 39%	14 56%	49 38%	49 57%	36 44%	73 49%	62 41%	35 59%	44 48%	55 38%
Corvallis	165 55%	70 47%	95B 63%	-	165D 100%	81G 66%	84 47%	109 59%	56 49%	123 55%	31 61%	11 44%	78 62%	37 43%	46 56%	74 51%	91 59%	25 41%	46 52%	91 62%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JKL, MNO, PQ, RST

DHM Research: Benton County Corvallis-Albany Bicycle Path - November 17-23, 2015

City

	Total	Income					Party		
		<\$25K	\$25K- \$50K	\$50K- \$75K	\$75K- \$100K	\$100K Or >	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other
Total	300 100%	36 100% (B)	45 100% (C)	78 100% (D)	40 100% (E)	44 100% (F)	128 100% (G)	80 100% (H)	92 100% (I)
Albany	135 45%	15 43%	27E 61%	32 41%	11 28%	22 51%	42 33%	46G 57%	47 51%
Corvallis	165 55%	20 57%	17 39%	46 59%	29C 72%	22 49%	86H 67%	34 43%	45 49%

-----  
(sig=.05) (all\_pairs) columns tested BCDEF, GHI